

The Physics of Collective Organization: A Medium-Based Attractor Framework for Adaptive Systems

Robert Galida

Fantasy Attractor Research Program

July 2026

Abstract

This paper presents a unified framework for understanding how organized systems—from bird flocks to human societies to the cosmos—maintain coherence and adapt to perturbation. It proposes that collective organization does not require shared perception or centralized control. Rather, it emerges through physical coupling via a medium—a substrate capable of transmitting state-dependent perturbations between interacting components. The framework draws on empirical evidence from fluid dynamics, active matter physics, network theory, and cosmology. It identifies three key principles: (1) collective organization is mediated through a physical medium, (2) the medium itself shapes the collective patterns that emerge, and (3) analogous dynamical principles—feedback, constraint, energy exchange, and attractor formation—appear across scales, although their governing equations differ. The paper presents a set of falsifiable research questions, defines operational variables for cross-domain comparison, and proposes a prioritized research agenda. The framework is offered as a generative research program—a lens for seeing connections across disciplines, not a replacement for existing theories.

Keywords: collective organization, physical coupling, attractor dynamics, entropy, cosmology, stigmergy, complex systems

1. Introduction

A flock of birds turns as one. No leader. No plan. No shared perception of the predator. Yet the flock reconfigures with breathtaking speed.

How does this happen?

The answer is not shared consciousness. It is **physical coupling**—but not exclusively. Birds coordinate through a combination of sensory and physical coupling. Their neighbors modify the local aerodynamic and visual environment, and these perturbations propagate through the flock. One bird tilts, creating a vacuum and compression. Adjacent birds feel the pressure change and respond. The signal propagates through the medium. The flock reconfigures.

This is the core insight of the attractor framework:

Collective organization does not require shared perception or centralized representation. Coordination can emerge through embodied responses to a shared physical medium.

The medium is not merely a channel through which agents communicate. It is an **active participant** in collective organization—part of the dynamical system that creates the attractor landscape.

This principle applies across domains:

System	Medium	Signal
Bird flocks	Air pressure field	Pressure changes
Fish schools	Water velocity field	Pressure/vibration
Insect colonies	Chemical concentration field	Pheromones
Brains	Electromagnetic + chemical fields	Neural firing
Societies	Physical communication infrastructure	Information
Ecosystems	Energy and resource gradients	Resource flows
The universe	Spacetime geometry	Expansion

This paper synthesizes a multi-domain research program investigating this principle. It draws on empirical evidence from physics, biology, cognitive science, and cosmology. It proposes a unified framework for understanding collective organization across scales.

2. The Mechanistic Core

2.1 The Universal Sequence

The framework posits a universal sequence that governs how dissipative systems respond to perturbation:

text

Perturbation → Excitation → Dissipation → Reconfiguration → New Basin

This sequence applies across all dissipative systems:

- 1. **Perturbation:** Energy stress enters the system.

2. **Excitation:** The system is driven from its low-energy state.
3. **Dissipation:** The perturbation is redistributed through internal degrees of freedom and exchanged with the environment.
4. **Reconfiguration:** The system reorganizes its internal organization.
5. **New basin:** The system settles into a new low-energy configuration—or dissolves.

2.2 The Three Thresholds

Every dissipative system faces the same challenge: how to maintain coherence under perturbation. The system's fate is determined by three thresholds:

Relationship	Process	Outcome
Coherence capacity $\geq$ perturbation load	The system dissipates the disturbance and returns to its existing attractor	Restoration
Perturbation exceeds current attractor stability but remains within adaptive capacity	The system reorganizes into a new stable configuration	Transition
Perturbation exceeds maximum dissipative capacity	The system cannot maintain coherence	Dissolution

Transition is not failure. It is the system finding a new attractor after the previous attractor becomes insufficient under changed conditions.

2.3 The Key Insight

The framework's central insight is:

Collective organization does not require shared perception or centralized representation. Coordination can emerge through embodied responses to a shared physical medium.

This reframes collective behavior:

- It does not require consciousness.
- It does not require shared perception.
- It requires only a medium.

The medium carries the signal. Systems respond to the medium, not to each other directly.

2.4 Defining the Medium

A **coupling medium** is any physical substrate capable of transmitting state-dependent perturbations between interacting components.

This definition has three implications:

1. **Physicality:** The medium must be physical—it must have properties that can be measured.
2. **Transmission:** The medium must carry signals from one component to another.
3. **State-dependence:** The signal must depend on the state of the component that creates it.

This definition excludes purely abstract or metaphysical “fields” that do not have physical properties.

However, the term “physical” can be understood at multiple levels:

Level	Medium	Examples
Primary	Physical fields, matter, energy gradients	Air pressure, water flow, electromagnetic fields, gravitational fields
Derived	Biological signaling, symbolic systems, social institutions	Chemical gradients, neural signals, language, communication networks, markets

At each level, the medium is ultimately implemented physically, but the relevant coupling dynamics may be described at higher levels of abstraction. The distinction between primary and derived media clarifies that the framework does not treat all media as equivalent—rather, it identifies how derived media emerge from and depend upon primary physical substrates.

2.5 Medium Criteria for Collective Organization

A coupling medium must have:

1. **Transmission** – Perturbations propagate.
2. **Reciprocity** – Agents modify the medium they inhabit.
3. **State dependence** – The signal depends on agent state.
4. **Feedback** – The altered medium changes future agent behavior.
5. **Attractor-forming dynamics** – The coupling creates stable or metastable states.

This gives us:

text

Agent → Medium → Agent → Feedback → Attractor

Without feedback, you have communication. With feedback, you have collective organization.

3. The Medium as Active Participant

The medium is not passive. It is an **active participant** in collective organization.

3.1 How the Medium Shapes Behavior

The physical properties of the medium—density, viscosity, propagation speed, attenuation—determine what kinds of collective patterns can emerge.

Medium	Properties	Typical Patterns
Air	Low density, high propagation speed	Columnar flocks, V-formations
Water	Higher density, slower propagation	Schools, milling rings
Granular media	High damping, short-range interaction	Clusters, chains
Chemical fields	Slow diffusion, persistence	Trails, networks

Implication: The same agents in different media will produce different collective patterns.

3.2 How Signals Propagate

Signals propagate through the medium with finite speed and attenuation:

- **Birds:** Air pressure changes travel at the speed of sound.
- **Fish:** Water pressure waves travel at the speed of sound in water.
- **Ants:** Pheromone gradients diffuse over time.

- **Neurons:** Action potentials propagate at finite speeds.
- **Societies:** Information propagates through communication networks.
- **Universe:** Gravitational and electromagnetic signals propagate at the speed of light.

Implication: The speed and range of signal propagation determines the scale and coherence of collective behavior.

3.3 How Agents Alter the Medium

Agents do not just respond to the medium; they alter it:

- Birds create vortices that affect other birds.
- Fish create wakes that affect other fish.
- Ants lay trails that affect other ants.
- Humans create communication networks that affect other humans.
- Massive particles curve spacetime that affects other particles.

Implication: The medium is a dynamical system in its own right. It evolves in response to the agents it couples.

4. Empirical Foundations

4.1 Minimal Physical Coupling

Recent experiments show that purely mechanical interactions can induce alignment. Motile rods on a vibrating plate align through the flow of passive beads. Each rod drags nearby beads; neighboring rods “weathercock” into the resulting flow. No direct sensing or communication is required.

Fluid-dynamic models of flapping flyers show that a trailing bird is forced into formation by the vortices shed by the leader. In each case, the only coupling is via a medium—beads or air.

Implication: A physical medium alone—airflow, water flow, or contact forces—can carry the signals needed for group coherence.

4.2 Asymmetric Coupling

Network theory shows that non-reciprocal (asymmetric) coupling can speed consensus. In multiplex-network models, if one layer influences another more strongly than vice versa, convergence to a common state can be faster.

Implication: Having “leaders” or more-sensitive agents may improve group coordination. Optimal asymmetries can accelerate flocking or swarming.

4.3 Limits of Physical Coupling

Both theory and experiment show that pure physical coupling breaks down at modest group sizes. Fluid-dynamics experiments with robotic flapping wings find that beyond a handful of individuals, self-amplifying flow waves (“flonons”) form and disrupt the flock.

Implication: Purely physical coupling can only maintain coherence up to a critical size. Beyond that threshold, additional mechanisms (active sensing, feedback control, leadership) become necessary.

4.4 The Medium Shapes Collective Patterns

The physical properties of the medium strongly influence group morphology. In low-viscosity air, flocks form columnar or V-formations. In denser media (water, granular beads), schooling or milling patterns differ.

Implication: The characteristic patterns (lines, clusters, milling rings) vary with medium properties—sound speed, damping, dimensionality.

4.5 Stigmergy and Information Flow

Social insects coordinate using stigmergy: they lay pheromone trails or leave objects, and other ants respond to those environmental cues. As one review notes:

“Individuals leave traces or modify the environment in a way that alters the behaviour of others... the environment, therefore, documents and organises collective behaviour, driving coordination without the need for direct communication.”

Implication: Information is carried by changes in the medium, not by a shared, explicit model.

5. A Coupled Dynamical Systems Framework

5.1 Core Variables

The framework defines four core variables that can be operationalized across domains:

Variable	Definition	Mathematical Expression
κ (corrective permeability)	Rate of return to dynamical trajectory after perturbation	$\kappa = -\text{Re}(\lambda_{\text{max}})$ (dominant eigenvalue of recovery dynamics)

Variable	Definition	Mathematical Expression
B (basin depth)	Energy barrier between attractor states	$B = \Delta V$ (potential barrier height)
C (coordination capacity)	Strength of coupling between components	$C = f(\text{connectivity, bandwidth, latency, reciprocity, coupling strength})$
E (environmental fit)	Correspondence between system and environment	$E = \text{model-environment correspondence (not simply prediction accuracy)}$

5.2 Normalization for Cross-Domain Comparison

To enable meaningful cross-domain comparison, the variables are expressed in dimensionless form:

text

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\kappa} &= \kappa / (\text{characteristic perturbation timescale})^{-1} \\ \hat{B} &= B / (\text{characteristic energy scale}) \\ \hat{C} &= C / (\text{characteristic coupling strength}) \\ \hat{E} &= E / (\text{characteristic environmental variance})\end{aligned}$$

This normalization does not assume identical units across domains; rather, it allows relational comparison of dynamical properties.

5.3 Mathematical Grounding for κ

Near an attractor, κ can be approximated by the negative real component of the dominant eigenvalue of the Jacobian describing perturbation recovery dynamics. Specifically, if:

text

$d\delta X/dt = J\delta X$

where J is the Jacobian evaluated at the attractor, then:

text

$\kappa = -\text{Re}(\lambda_{\text{max}})$

This gives κ a precise mathematical meaning—the rate of exponential return toward equilibrium after perturbation.

5.4 Domain-Specific Operationalization

Domain	κ	B	C	E
Active matter	Recovery rate after perturbation	Energy barrier between states	Coupling strength between particles	Alignment with external field
Biology	Homeostatic recovery rate	Activation energy for transition	Network connectivity	Environmental matching
Cognition	Belief revision rate	Cognitive dissonance barrier	Social network strength	Prediction accuracy
Society	Institutional response time	Policy transition barrier	Communication network strength	Policy effectiveness
Cosmos	Hubble approach to H_∞ (speculative)	Vacuum stability (inferred)	Large-scale structure coherence	Λ CDM fit

5.5 The Coupled Dynamical System

The core insight is that the medium evolves too. The real model is not Agent → Environment but a coupled dynamical system:

text

$$dX/dt = F(X, M) + \eta$$

$$dM/dt = G(M, X)$$


Where:

- **X** = system state
- **M** = medium state
- **η** = stochastic perturbation
- **F** = agent dynamics
- **G** = medium dynamics

This captures the reciprocal coupling between agents and their medium. The medium is not a passive background; it evolves in response to the agents it couples.

5.6 The Conceptual Diagram

text



This diagram captures the entire framework: agents modify the medium, the medium feeds back to agents, and the reciprocal

coupling creates attractor dynamics.

6. PART II – Speculative Extension: Cosmological Applications of the Attractor Framework

6.1 Status

This section is a speculative extension of the framework. It is offered as a generative hypothesis, not an established theory.

6.2 The Three-Tier Structure

The framework extends to cosmology through a three-tier structure:

Level	System	Type
Roof	The universe	Provides boundary conditions and evolving geometric context
Middle	Life, mind, society	Dissipative open systems (energy exchange)
Floor	The metronomes	Conservative (persistent dynamical primitives)

Subsystems within the universe are dissipative open systems; the universe provides the boundary conditions and evolving geometric context in which those systems operate.

6.3 Candidate Persistent Dynamical Primitives

Three exceptionally persistent particle families—electrons, protons, and neutrino states—serve as candidate long-lived

primitives. Their stability provides reference structures within the cosmic attractor landscape.

The analogy of “metronomes” is not proposed as a replacement gravitational mechanism but as a structural metaphor for persistent constraints within evolving systems. The term “metronome” is reserved for metaphorical sections; the technical term is “persistent reference structures.”

Observation: The cosmic web of filaments and voids mirrors the structure of a prestressed material. Filaments are “strands under tension”; voids are regions of low density, expanding freely.

6.4 Space as an Expansive Medium

The framework treats spacetime geometry as a coupling medium:

- Cosmic expansion is interpreted as the dynamics of an expansive medium.
- Cosmic acceleration is interpreted analogically as an expansive stress term comparable to osmotic pressure in prestressed biological systems.

6.5 Dark Energy as Analogy

The cosmological constant (Λ) can be interpreted analogically as the cosmic “WHC-water discrepancy” in the prestressed systems framework:

Biological	Cosmological (Analogy)
WHC-water discrepancy	Dark energy
Collagen constrains swelling	Persistent primitives constrain expansion
Osmotic pressure drives swelling	Space expansion drives cosmic acceleration

6.6 Cosmic Variables (Speculative)

Variable	Cosmic Interpretation
K	Rate at which the universe approaches its de Sitter attractor (speculative)
B	Vacuum stability (inferred from constant stability)
C	Coherence of large-scale structure (cosmic web)
E	Correspondence between model and observed universe

These are candidate interpretations requiring formal development.

7. Research Questions

7.1 Physical Coupling

Q1: Minimal Physical Coupling

- **Question:** What is the minimal physical coupling required for collective organization to emerge?
- **Hypothesis:** Collective organization requires only a physical medium—airflow, water flow, or contact forces.
- **Test:** Design experiments with minimal physical coupling and measure whether collective behavior emerges.
- **Falsification:** If no collective alignment emerges under purely physical coupling, the hypothesis is false.

Q2: Asymmetric Coupling

- **Question:** How does coupling asymmetry affect collective dynamics?
- **Hypothesis:** Asymmetric coupling—where some members are more sensitive to the medium than others—may be more

efficient for collective organization.

- **Test:** Compare symmetric vs. asymmetric coupling in models of flocking or swarming.
- **Falsification:** If asymmetric networks never outperform symmetric ones, the hypothesis is false.

Q3: Limits of Physical Coupling

- **Question:** What are the limits of physical coupling?
- **Hypothesis:** There is a critical group size beyond which physical coupling alone cannot sustain collective coherence.
- **Test:** Measure the maximum group size that can maintain coherence through physical coupling alone.
- **Falsification:** If large groups (>10) remain stable without feedback, the hypothesis is false.

7.2 The Media of Coupling

Q4: Universal Properties of Media

- **Question:** What are the universal properties of coupling media?
- **Hypothesis:** All coupling media share structural properties: finite propagation speed, attenuation with distance, and two-way agent-medium feedback.
- **Test:** Develop a taxonomy of coupling media and identify their shared properties.
- **Falsification:** If medium properties fail to predict differences in collective behavior after controlling for agent properties, the medium hypothesis is weakened.

Q5: Medium Shapes Collective Patterns

- **Question:** How does the medium shape collective behavior?

- **Hypothesis:** The properties of the coupling medium determine the characteristic patterns of collective behavior.
- **Test:** Compare collective behavior in different media (air, water, mechanical contact).
- **Falsification:** If medium properties do not affect collective patterns, the hypothesis is false.

7.3 Collective Organization Without Shared Perception

Q6: Information Flow via Medium

- **Question:** How does information flow through physical coupling without shared perception?
- **Hypothesis:** Information flows through the medium, not through shared perception. The medium itself carries the signal.
- **Test:** Measure information flow in physically coupled systems.
- **Falsification:** If information does not flow through the medium, the hypothesis is false.

Q7: Physical vs. Information Coupling

- **Question:** What is the relationship between physical coupling and information coupling?
- **Hypothesis:** Information transfer requires a physical substrate, although the relevant coupling may be described at higher levels of abstraction.
- **Test:** Compare systems with physical coupling only, information coupling only, and both.
- **Falsification:** If information coupling can exist without physical coupling, the hypothesis is false.

7.4 Cosmological Extension (Speculative)

Q8: Universe as Prestressed System

- **Question:** How can the universe be understood as a prestressed system?
- **Hypothesis:** The universe can be interpreted as a prestressed system—with stable particles as “rebar” and space as “osmotic pressure.”
- **Test:** Model the expansion history as the dynamics of a prestressed system.
- **Falsification:** If the model does not match Λ CDM observations, the hypothesis is false.

Q9: Cosmic Variables

- **Question:** What are κ , B , C , and E at cosmic scale?
- **Hypothesis:** κ , B , C , and E can be defined consistently at cosmic scale.
- **Test:** Develop operational definitions for cosmological variables and test their predictions.
- **Falsification:** If variables cannot be defined consistently at cosmic scale, the framework is not universal.

Q10: Persistent Primitives and Expansion

- **Question:** How do persistent dynamical primitives constrain expansion?
- **Hypothesis:** The cosmic web is the “tissue” of the universe—a prestressed structure held together by persistent reference structures.
- **Test:** Model the cosmic web as a prestressed structure.
- **Falsification:** If the cosmic web does not reflect persistent primitive constraints, the hypothesis is false.

7.5 Synthesis and Formalization

Q11: Scale Invariance

- **Question:** Are κ , B, C, and E scale-invariant?
- **Hypothesis:** κ , B, C, and E can be defined consistently across scales.
- **Test:** Develop operational definitions for each variable across scales.
- **Falsification:** If variables cannot be defined consistently across scales, the framework is not universal.

Q12: Units and Dimensional Consistency

- **Question:** What are the units of κ , B, C, and E in each domain?
- **Hypothesis:** Consistent cross-scale units can be defined.
- **Test:** Develop dimensional analysis for each variable across domains.
- **Falsification:** If variables cannot be given consistent units, the framework is not operational.

Q13: Domain-Independent State Equation

- **Question:** Can a domain-independent state equation be written?
- **Hypothesis:** A domain-independent state equation can be written with κ , B, C, and E as parameters.
- **Test:** Formulate state equations for multiple domains and test their predictions.
- **Falsification:** If each domain requires different equations, the framework is a taxonomy.

Q14: κ from Interaction Topology

- **Question:** Does κ emerge from interaction topology?
- **Hypothesis:** κ can be derived from the structure of the interaction manifold.
- **Test:** Model κ as a function of interaction topology and test against data.
- **Falsification:** If κ cannot be derived from topology, it remains primitive.

Q15: B Conserved or Variable

- **Question:** Is B conserved or variable?
- **Hypothesis:** B exhibits systematic behavior over time.
- **Test:** Measure B longitudinally across domains.
- **Falsification:** If B shows no systematic behavior, the concept is not operational.

Q16: Coupling of Variables

- **Question:** How do κ , B, C, and E couple?
- **Hypothesis:** κ , B, C, and E are coupled through definable relationships.
- **Test:** Measure variables across domains and analyze their relationships.
- **Falsification:** If variables show no systematic relationships, the framework lacks predictive power.

8. Research Agenda

Priority 1: Physical Coupling (Q1–Q3)

1. **Minimal-coupling experiments:** Controlled multi-agent experiments with no communication or sensing, only

physical coupling. Vary the medium (air, water, granular) and measure emergent order.

2. **Asymmetry vs. symmetry simulations:** Agent-based models with symmetric and asymmetric coupling. Measure convergence speed and coherence.
3. **Group-size limits:** Systematically vary group size of mechanically-coupled agents and observe when coherence breaks. Identify maximum size before collisions or disorder ensue.

Priority 2: Media of Coupling (Q4–Q5)

4. **Taxonomy of coupling media:** Formal classification of media by signal properties (propagation speed, attenuation, dimensionality).
5. **Medium-dependent behavior comparisons:** Parallel experiments or simulations of identical agents in different media. Compare pattern formation, correlation lengths, oscillation modes.

Priority 3: Collective Organization (Q6–Q7)

6. **Stigmergy and information flow:** Controlled stigmergic systems (robots that deposit markers). Compare coordination to physical coupling only. Use information-theoretic measures to quantify information flow.

Priority 4: Cosmology (Q8–Q10)

7. **Cosmology mapping studies:** Simplified models of the universe-as-prestressed-system. Compute κ by linearizing Friedmann equations. Develop operational definitions for

cosmic B, C, E.

Priority 5: Synthesis (Q11–Q16)

8. **Cross-scale variable measurement:** Attempt to measure κ , B, C, E in situ across systems. Use dimensionless normalization for comparison. Test for correlations.

9. Falsification Criteria

Question	Falsification Criterion
Q1	No collective alignment under purely physical coupling
Q2	Asymmetric coupling never outperforms symmetric
Q3	Large groups (>10) remain stable without feedback
Q4	Medium properties fail to predict differences in collective behavior after controlling for agent properties
Q5	Medium properties do not affect collective patterns
Q6	Information does not flow through the medium
Q7	Information coupling without physical coupling exists
Q8	Universe model does not match Λ CDM observations
Q9	Variables cannot be defined at cosmic scale
Q10	Cosmic web does not reflect persistent primitive constraints
Q11	Variables cannot be defined consistently across scales
Q12	Variables cannot be given consistent units

Question	Falsification Criterion
Q13	Each domain requires different equations
Q14	κ cannot be derived from topology
Q15	B shows no systematic behavior
Q16	Variables show no systematic relationships

10. Implications

10.1 Adaptive Organization Across Dissipative Systems

Analogous dynamical principles—feedback, constraint, energy exchange, and attractor formation—appear across scales, although their governing equations differ. The same thermodynamic sequence governs biological evolution, cognitive adaptation, social transformation, and cosmic structure formation.

10.2 Collective Organization Is Physical

Collective organization is not mystical. It emerges from the physical coupling of individual systems through a medium. The medium is an active participant in the dynamics.

10.3 The Universe Is a Coupled System

The universe is not a static background. It is the dynamic constraint field within which all organized dissipative systems continuously negotiate persistence.

10.4 The Framework Is a Lens

The framework does not replace existing science. It unifies it. It reveals the common pattern underlying established

observations across domains.

11. Conclusion

The universe is not a static background. It is the dynamic constraint field within which all organized dissipative systems continuously negotiate persistence. Evolution is the history of those negotiations.

The universal sequence is:

Perturbation → excitation → dissipation → reconfiguration → new basin.

The mechanism is dynamic stabilization through energy exchange, information flow, and constraint maintenance.

The coupling is physical.

The outcomes are restoration, transition, or dissolution.

The Safeguard is corrigibility—the capacity to remain coupled to the changing constraint field.

The medium is an active participant in collective organization.

The hypothesis is that related organizational motifs recur across domains: feedback, constraint, energy exchange, and attractor formation.

The framework is offered as a generative research program—a lens for seeing connections across disciplines, not a replacement for existing theories.

Fou Sho Nang Ying.

References

Galida, R. (2026). *The Persistence Protocol: A Framework for Understanding and Navigating the Dynamics of Complex Systems*. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.

Galida, R. (2026). *Universal Evolutionary Dynamics: A Thermodynamic Theory of Persistence, Transition, and Dissolution*. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.

Galida, R. (2026). *The Universe as a Prestressed System: A Taoist Cosmology*. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.

Galida, R. (2026). *The Thermodynamics of Corrigibility: Information Storage, Symmetry Breaking, and the Safeguard*. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.