The Prestressed Body as the Foundational Organizing Principle of Multicellular Life: How ECM Mechanotransduction, Hydrated Molecular Interfaces, and Chiral-Selective Electron Processes Precede and Enable Neurons and Brains

Robert Galida
Fantasy Attractor Research Program
July 2026

Abstract

This paper proposes that the prestressed extracellular matrix (ECM) is the foundational organizing principle of multicellular life—a signal-carrying scaffold that predates and enables nervous systems. Drawing on recent research in mechanotransduction, structured water, tensegrity, and chiral-selective electron processes, we argue that the ECM provides a physical medium for coupling, dissipation, and attractor formation that precedes the evolution of neurons and brains. Nervous systems are evolutionary elaborations of pre-existing cellular and tissue-level information-processing mechanisms. The paper integrates five lines of evidence: (1) the evolutionary precedence of ECM mechanotransduction, (2) the role of hydrated molecular interfaces as a conductive transductive medium, (3) tensegrity as the structural basis of mechanotransduction, (4) the link between ECM mechanotransduction and higher brain function, and (5) the relationship between ECM density and coupling properties. The framework is offered as a generative research program—a lens for understanding how biological organization emerges from the physical coupling of cells through a prestressed, water-based, chiral-sensitive medium.

Keywords: extracellular matrix, mechanotransduction, structured water, tensegrity, chiral-induced spin selectivity, attractor dynamics, collective organization, prestressed body, ECM, CISS effect

---

1. Introduction

The standard view of biological organization places the brain at the apex. Neurons fire, synapses connect, and consciousness emerges. The body is a supporting structure—a vessel for the nervous system.

This paper proposes an alternative. The body—specifically, the prestressed extracellular matrix and its associated hydrated molecular interfaces—is the **foundational organizing principle** of multicellular life. It is the primitive organizing substrate that predates and enables neurons and brains. Nervous systems are evolutionary elaborations of pre-existing cellular and tissue-level information-processing mechanisms.

In this framework, information processing refers to the physical transformation, storage, and propagation of state differences through coupled biological structures. This definition avoids implying that ECM “thinks” while recognizing that it actively processes and transmits signals.

The argument rests on five lines of evidence, organized in a hierarchy of certainty:

Tier 1 — Established Biology

- ECM predates nervous systems.
- Cells sense mechanical forces.
- Mechanical forces regulate gene expression.
- ECM regulates neural plasticity.

Tier 2 — Emerging Biophysics

- Hydrated molecular interfaces contribute to biological organization.
- Mechanical signals propagate through hydrated molecular networks.
- ECM properties tune collective dynamics.

Tier 3 — Hypothesis / Research Program

- Structured (EZ) water may function as a major conductive layer.
- Chiral-selective electron processes may contribute to biological organization.
- Prerequisites of consciousness—such as integration, persistence, and adaptive state regulation—may arise from body-wide attractor dynamics before being amplified by neural architectures.

Before neural systems existed, multicellular organisms required mechanisms for maintaining form, coordinating growth, and responding collectively to environmental perturbations.

ECM-mediated mechanical signaling provides a candidate substrate for these early forms of biological computation.

These findings support a unified framework: the prestressed body is the medium through which cells couple, dissipate energy, and form attractors. Neurons and brains are later elaborations built upon this foundation.

---

2. Evolutionary Precedence of ECM Mechanotransduction

2.1 ECM in Earliest Animals

The ECM appears in the earliest multicellular animals and is deeply conserved across metazoa. All animal cells possess a collagen-rich ECM, suggesting a common monophyletic origin of multicellularity in Animalia. ECM proteins act as **persistent reference structures** throughout evolution.

2.2 Mechanosensation Predates Neurons

Mechanosensation is ancient and ubiquitous:

> *"All living things require some form of mechanosensation… every cell responds to osmotic pressure and even single cells react to touch."*

Even single-celled organisms possess mechanosensitive ion channels to detect touch and pressure. In higher animals, basic mechanotransduction pathways (integrin-adhesion complexes, mechanosensitive channels like PIEZO) are found in invertebrates as well as vertebrates.

2.3 The Hierarchy

```text
ECM + Mechanotransduction (ancient, conserved)
        ↓
Neurons (later evolution)
        ↓
Brains (later evolution)
```

Implication: The prestressed body is the primitive organizing substrate. Nervous systems are evolutionary elaborations of pre-existing information-processing mechanisms.

---

3. The Prestressed Body: Tensegrity and Mechanotransduction

3.1 Tensegrity Architecture

Cells and tissues maintain constant internal tension ("prestress") through a tensegrity architecture linking the extracellular matrix and cytoskeleton. As one study notes, the cytoskeleton and ECM form a "single, tensionally integrated structural system" predicted by tensegrity theory.

In this model:

- **Actin-myosin networks** and **intermediate filaments** (in cells) and **collagen fibers** (in ECM) form an interconnected tension/compression balance.
- **Tensile prestress** is a key determinant of cell mechanics, cell form, and nuclear form.
- A local tug on one fiber leads to a global rearrangement of the network.

3.2 Prestress as Dual Property

Prestress provides a dual property essential for mechanotransduction:

Property	Mechanism	Function
Enhanced dissipation	Distributed stress over the whole structure	Absorbs shocks, prevents catastrophic failure
Rigid transduction	Rapid signal transmission through taut elements	Propagates small mechanical signals quickly

As one study notes, “the cell’s mechanical response to force depends on its pre-existing tension.” Tensegrity structures “develop an intrinsic stabilizing tension called prestress and react by global rearrangements… to a local action of a mechanical stress.”

Implication: The prestressed body is both stable and responsive—a system that can absorb large perturbations while rapidly transmitting small signals.

---

4. Hydrated Molecular Interfaces as a Transductive Medium

4.1 Interfacial Water Behavior

Water near biomolecular surfaces behaves differently from bulk water. Hydration shells influence protein folding, molecular interactions, and transport. Interfacial water has altered dielectric and dynamic properties.

Hydrated molecular interfaces provide a physical environment in which mechanical, electrical, and chemical information can couple.

4.2 Exclusion-Zone (EZ) Water

Recent studies show that water adjacent to hydrophilic ECM surfaces forms structured “exclusion zones” (EZ) with unique properties. Near charged or polar ECM molecules (e.g., glycosaminoglycans), water organizes into layered, honeycomb-like sheets that exclude solutes.

Key properties:

- **Extension:** EZ water can extend **microns** from the surface.
- **Charge:** The exclusion zone is **negatively charged**; the zone beyond is **positively charged**, creating a built-in battery.
- **Conductivity:** EZ water is more conductive than bulk water.
- **Structure:** EZ water has altered optical, electrical, and viscous properties.

4.3 Status of EZ Water Claims

Whether EZ water functions as a large-scale biological energy-storage medium remains an open question requiring further investigation. The evidence for EZ water as a primary signaling system is emerging but not yet established.

Implication: Hydrated molecular interfaces—including structured water—likely contribute to biological organization, but the extent of this contribution remains a research frontier.

---

5. The Chiral Bias: Chiral-Selective Electron Processes and Homochirality

5.1 The Problem of Homochirality

Life is built on chiral molecules—molecules that come in left-handed and right-handed mirror-image forms. Yet life shows an extreme, universal bias:

- **Amino acids** are almost exclusively **left-handed (L)** .
- **Sugars** are almost exclusively **right-handed (D)** .

This is called **homochirality**. It is one of the deepest unsolved mysteries in biology because ordinary chemical processes produce a 50/50 mixture of left- and right-handed molecules.

5.2 Chiral-Induced Spin Selectivity (CISS) as a Candidate Mechanism

The CISS effect provides a quantum mechanism for chiral selectivity:

- **Chiral molecules as spin filters:** When an electron passes through a chiral molecule, its helical structure acts as a spin filter.
- **Left-handed (L) molecules** preferentially transmit electrons with **one** spin direction.
- **Right-handed (D) molecules** preferentially transmit electrons with the **opposite** spin direction.

5.3 Status of CISS Claims

CISS may provide a mechanism by which biological chiral structures influence electron transfer, redox regulation, and molecular recognition after homochirality is established. The evolutionary origin of life’s handedness remains unresolved.

Implication: Chiral-selective electron processes represent a promising research direction, but they do not yet provide a complete explanation for biological homochirality. They are one potential contributor to the framework’s coupling mechanisms.

---

6. ECM Mechanotransduction and Higher Brain Function

6.1 ECM and Synaptic Function

Emerging evidence links ECM mechanics to synaptic function and cognitive processes. The brain’s extracellular matrix (including perineuronal nets and interstitial matrix) interacts with neuronal receptors and ion channels to influence plasticity.

> *“The ECM is found to regulate synapse formation, the stability of the synaptic structure, and synaptic plasticity.”*

6.2 Neurons Sense ECM Stiffness

Neurons express integrins and PIEZO channels that sense ECM stiffness. Cultured neurons alter growth and synaptic connectivity in response to substrate rigidity.

Mechanosensitive PIEZO1 has been implicated in:

- Neurodevelopment
- Neuroinflammation
- Cognitive regulation

6.3 ECM Disruption Impairs Memory

Enzymatic digestion of perineuronal nets (ECM structures) alters hippocampal plasticity and memory retention.

6.4 The Mechanical Landscape

Neural circuits are overlaid onto a prestressed matrix that continually feeds back mechanical cues to modulate synaptic signaling. ECM mechanotransduction does not vanish at the synapse—it **actively regulates neural processing**.

Implication: The brain builds upon an underlying “mechanical landscape” provided by the ECM. Neurons are not the source of organization—they are an evolutionary elaboration on a deeper, older system.

---

7. ECM Density and Coupling Properties

7.1 Variable Density

Different ECM densities and compositions change how mechanical signals propagate. High ECM density or stiffness generally increases the speed and range of force transmission, whereas soft or sparse matrices limit force propagation.

7.2 Beyond Stiffness

Crucially, both the **type** and **density** of ECM ligand can modulate mechanotransduction independently of stiffness. In one stem-cell study, varying the concentration of collagen, laminin, or fibronectin altered nuclear YAP localization and differentiation independently of overall matrix stiffness.

7.3 The Framework Translation

ECM Property	Coupling Effect	Framework Variable
High density	Stronger adhesion, deeper basins	Higher C, higher B
Low density	Weaker coupling, shallower basins	Lower C, lower B
Stiff matrix	Faster signal propagation	Higher κ
Soft matrix	Slower signal propagation	Lower κ

Implication: ECM density and composition tune the mechanics of collective cell behavior. The same principles—coupling, dissipation, attractor formation—govern tissue organization.

---

8. The Unified Framework

8.1 The Coupled Dynamical System

The framework is a coupled dynamical system:

text

```
dX/dt = F(X, M) + η
dM/dt = G(M, X)
```

Where:

- **X** = cell state (gene expression, differentiation, behavior)
- **M** = ECM/hydrated interface state (density, stiffness, conductivity)
- **η** = stochastic perturbation
- **F** = cell dynamics (mechanotransduction, signaling)
- **G** = ECM dynamics (remodeling, water structure)

8.2 Mathematical Foundations

Near an attractor, the dynamics can be approximated by linearization. A Lyapunov function candidate is the energy landscape of the coupled system:

text

```
V(X, M) = energy(X) + energy(M) + interaction(X, M)
```

The **attractor basin** is defined as the region of state space where V is minimized and recovery is stable.

κ (corrective permeability) is the rate of exponential return to the attractor after perturbation, measured as the negative real part of the dominant eigenvalue of the Jacobian, representing the slowest recovery mode:

text

```
κ = -max_i Re(λ_i)
```

where λ_i are the eigenvalues of the linearized dynamics near the attractor. This gives κ the precise meaning of the bottleneck relaxation rate—the slowest mode of return to equilibrium.

8.3 The Conceptual Diagram

text

```
          Perturbation (mechanical, chemical)
```

```
              ↓

       ┌──────────────┐
       │   Cells      │
       └──────┬───────┘
              ↓
      Modify ECM / water
              ↓
       ┌──────────────┐
       │   ECM / Water│
       └──────┬───────┘
              ↓
      Feedback alters cells
              ↓
         New attractor
```

8.4 Core Variables

Variable	Definition	Biological Instantiation
κ (corrective permeability)	Rate of return to attractor after perturbation	Mechanotransduction recovery rate
B (basin depth)	Energy barrier between attractor states	ECM density, stiffness
C (coordination capacity)	Strength of coupling between components	ECM-cell adhesion, connectivity
E (environmental fit)	Correspondence between system and environment	Cell-ECM matching

8.5 The Foundational Principle

The prestressed body is the **foundational organizing principle** of multicellular life:

- It provides the **medium** (ECM + hydrated molecular interfaces).
- It provides the **coupling** (mechanotransduction, hydrated molecular interfaces, and potentially chiral-selective electron processes).
- It provides the **feedback** (cell-ECM reciprocal dynamics).
- It provides the **attractors** (tissue organization, homeostasis).

Nervous systems are evolutionary elaborations built upon this foundation.

---

9. Research Agenda

9.1 Testable Predictions

Prediction	Test	Falsification
P1: ECM mechanotransduction predates neural processing	Evolutionary biology studies	If neural processing found without ECM
P2: Hydrated molecular interfaces are required for efficient mechanotransduction	Disruption experiments	If mechanotransduction persists without hydration effects
P3: ECM density tunes coupling strength	Cell culture on varied ECM densities	If no relationship found
P4: Chiral-selective electron processes mediate left-handed bias in biological systems	Disruption experiments	If left-handed bias persists without chiral-selective effects

Prediction	Test	Falsification
P5: Nervous systems are elaborations on ECM foundation	Comparative neurobiology	If brain function independent of ECM

9.2 Research Questions

1. **Evolutionary:** Can we trace the evolutionary lineage from ECM mechanotransduction to nervous systems?
2. **Biophysical:** How do hydrated molecular interfaces enable mechanotransduction at the ECM level?
3. **Mechanical:** How does prestress enable both enhanced dissipation and rigid transduction?
4. **Neurobiological:** Is there evidence that ECM mechanotransduction provides the foundational "medium" that neural processing builds upon?
5. **Clinical:** Can ECM mechanics be manipulated to treat disorders of memory, plasticity, and cognition?

---

10. Implications

10.1 For Consciousness

The brain is not the source of consciousness. It is an **evolutionary elaboration** on the prestressed body. The framework suggests that some prerequisites of consciousness—such as integration, persistence, and adaptive state regulation—may arise from body-wide attractor dynamics before being amplified by neural architectures.

10.2 For Evolution

Nervous systems did not appear from nothing. They evolved from the prestressed body’s existing coupling mechanisms. The medium came first. The nervous system is a later elaboration.

10.3 For Medicine

Tissue organization is not just a matter of cell signaling. It is a matter of mechanics. ECM density, stiffness, and composition determine the attractor landscape for cells. Manipulating the ECM could provide therapeutic leverage for wound healing, tissue engineering, and disease treatment.

10.4 For AI

The body is a physical computing system. The prestressed ECM + hydrated molecular interfaces provide a model for distributed, robust, adaptive computation—a medium-based attractor framework that could inform artificial intelligence design.

---

11. Conclusion

The standard view of biology places the brain at the apex. The body is a supporting structure.

This paper has argued the opposite: the body—specifically, the prestressed extracellular matrix and its associated hydrated molecular interfaces—is the **foundational organizing principle** of multicellular life.

The evidence, organized by certainty:

- **Tier 1 (Established):** ECM mechanotransduction predates neurons and brains; cells sense mechanical forces; ECM regulates neural plasticity.
- **Tier 2 (Emerging):** Hydrated molecular interfaces contribute to biological organization; ECM properties

tune collective dynamics.

- **Tier 3 (Hypothesis):** Structured water may function as a major conductive layer; chiral-selective electron processes may contribute to biological organization; prerequisites of consciousness may arise from body-wide attractor dynamics.

Nervous systems are evolutionary elaborations of pre-existing cellular and tissue-level information-processing mechanisms.

The universal sequence is:

> ***Perturbation → excitation → dissipation → reconfiguration → new basin.***

The mechanism is mechanotransduction through hydrated molecular interfaces and ECM.

The coupling is physical.

The foundation is the prestressed body.

The nervous system is the elaboration.

The pattern is the same across all domains.

Fou Sho Nang Ying.

References

Bienertová-Vašků, J., Zlámal, F., Nečesánek, I., Konečný, D., & Vasku, A. (2016). Calculating Stress: From Entropy to a Thermodynamic Concept of Health and Disease. *PLOS ONE*, 11(1), e0146667.

Galida, R. (2026). *The Persistence Protocol: A Framework for*

Understanding and Navigating the Dynamics of Complex Systems. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.

Galida, R. (2026). *The Physics of Collective Organization: A Medium-Based Attractor Framework for Adaptive Systems*. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.

Galida, R. (2026). *The Universe as a Prestressed System: A Taoist Cosmology*. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.

Ingber, D. E. (2003). Tensegrity I. Cell structure and hierarchical systems biology. *Journal of Cell Science*, 116(7), 1157-1173.

Marshall, K. L., & Lumpkin, E. A. (2012). The molecular basis of mechanosensory transduction. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, 739, 1-14.

Naaman, R., Paltiel, Y., & Waldeck, D. H. (2019). Chiral molecules and the electron spin. *Nature Reviews Chemistry*, 3, 250-260.

Pollack, G. H. (2013). *The Fourth Phase of Water: Beyond Solid, Liquid, and Vapor*. Ebner and Sons.

The Physics of Collective Organization: A Medium-Based Attractor Framework for Adaptive Systems

Robert Galida
Fantasy Attractor Research Program

July 2026

Abstract

This paper presents a unified framework for understanding how organized systems—from bird flocks to human societies to the cosmos—maintain coherence and adapt to perturbation. It proposes that collective organization does not require shared perception or centralized control. Rather, it emerges through physical coupling via a medium—a substrate capable of transmitting state-dependent perturbations between interacting components. The framework draws on empirical evidence from fluid dynamics, active matter physics, network theory, and cosmology. It identifies three key principles: (1) collective organization is mediated through a physical medium, (2) the medium itself shapes the collective patterns that emerge, and (3) analogous dynamical principles—feedback, constraint, energy exchange, and attractor formation—appear across scales, although their governing equations differ. The paper presents a set of falsifiable research questions, defines operational variables for cross-domain comparison, and proposes a prioritized research agenda. The framework is offered as a generative research program—a lens for seeing connections across disciplines, not a replacement for existing theories.

Keywords: collective organization, physical coupling, attractor dynamics, entropy, cosmology, stigmergy, complex systems

---

1. Introduction

A flock of birds turns as one. No leader. No plan. No shared perception of the predator. Yet the flock reconfigures with breathtaking speed.

How does this happen?

The answer is not shared consciousness. It is **physical coupling**—but not exclusively. Birds coordinate through a combination of sensory and physical coupling. Their neighbors modify the local aerodynamic and visual environment, and these perturbations propagate through the flock. One bird tilts, creating a vacuum and compression. Adjacent birds feel the pressure change and respond. The signal propagates through the medium. The flock reconfigures.

This is the core insight of the attractor framework:

> *Collective organization does not require shared perception or centralized representation. Coordination can emerge through embodied responses to a shared physical medium.*

The medium is not merely a channel through which agents communicate. It is an **active participant** in collective organization—part of the dynamical system that creates the attractor landscape.

This principle applies across domains:

System	Medium	Signal
Bird flocks	Air pressure field	Pressure changes
Fish schools	Water velocity field	Pressure/vibration
Insect colonies	Chemical concentration field	Pheromones
Brains	Electromagnetic + chemical fields	Neural firing
Societies	Physical communication infrastructure	Information

System	Medium	Signal
Ecosystems	Energy and resource gradients	Resource flows
The universe	Spacetime geometry	Expansion

This paper synthesizes a multi-domain research program investigating this principle. It draws on empirical evidence from physics, biology, cognitive science, and cosmology. It proposes a unified framework for understanding collective organization across scales.

---

2. The Mechanistic Core

2.1 The Universal Sequence

The framework posits a universal sequence that governs how dissipative systems respond to perturbation:

text

```
Perturbation → Excitation → Dissipation → Reconfiguration → New Basin
```

This sequence applies across all dissipative systems:

1. **Perturbation**: Energy stress enters the system.
2. **Excitation**: The system is driven from its low-energy state.
3. **Dissipation**: The perturbation is redistributed through internal degrees of freedom and exchanged with the environment.
4. **Reconfiguration**: The system reorganizes its internal organization.
5. **New basin**: The system settles into a new low-energy configuration—or dissolves.

2.2 The Three Thresholds

Every dissipative system faces the same challenge: how to maintain coherence under perturbation. The system's fate is determined by three thresholds:

Relationship	Process	Outcome
Coherence capacity ≥ perturbation load	The system dissipates the disturbance and returns to its existing attractor	Restoration
Perturbation exceeds current attractor stability but remains within adaptive capacity	The system reorganizes into a new stable configuration	Transition
Perturbation exceeds maximum dissipative capacity	The system cannot maintain coherence	Dissolution

Transition is not failure. It is the system finding a new attractor after the previous attractor becomes insufficient under changed conditions.

2.3 The Key Insight

The framework's central insight is:

> *Collective organization does not require shared perception or centralized representation. Coordination can emerge through embodied responses to a shared physical medium.*

This reframes collective behavior:

- It does not require consciousness.
- It does not require shared perception.
- It requires only a medium.

The medium carries the signal. Systems respond to the medium, not to each other directly.

2.4 Defining the Medium

A **coupling medium** is any physical substrate capable of transmitting state-dependent perturbations between interacting components.

This definition has three implications:

1. **Physicality**: The medium must be physical—it must have properties that can be measured.
2. **Transmission**: The medium must carry signals from one component to another.
3. **State-dependence**: The signal must depend on the state of the component that creates it.

This definition excludes purely abstract or metaphysical “fields” that do not have physical properties.

However, the term “physical” can be understood at multiple levels:

Level	Medium	Examples
Primary	Physical fields, matter, energy gradients	Air pressure, water flow, electromagnetic fields, gravitational fields
Derived	Biological signaling, symbolic systems, social institutions	Chemical gradients, neural signals, language, communication networks, markets

At each level, the medium is ultimately implemented physically, but the relevant coupling dynamics may be described at higher levels of abstraction. The distinction between primary and derived media clarifies that the framework does not treat all media as equivalent—rather, it identifies how derived media emerge from and depend upon primary physical substrates.

2.5 Medium Criteria for Collective Organization

A coupling medium must have:

1. **Transmission** — Perturbations propagate.
2. **Reciprocity** — Agents modify the medium they inhabit.
3. **State dependence** — The signal depends on agent state.
4. **Feedback** — The altered medium changes future agent behavior.
5. **Attractor-forming dynamics** — The coupling creates stable or metastable states.

This gives us:

text

Agent → Medium → Agent → Feedback → Attractor

Without feedback, you have communication. With feedback, you have collective organization.

---

3. The Medium as Active Participant

The medium is not passive. It is an **active participant** in collective organization.

3.1 How the Medium Shapes Behavior

The physical properties of the medium—density, viscosity, propagation speed, attenuation—determine what kinds of collective patterns can emerge.

Medium	Properties	Typical Patterns
Air	Low density, high propagation speed	Columnar flocks, V-formations
Water	Higher density, slower propagation	Schools, milling rings
Granular media	High damping, short-range interaction	Clusters, chains
Chemical fields	Slow diffusion, persistence	Trails, networks

Implication: The same agents in different media will produce different collective patterns.

3.2 How Signals Propagate

Signals propagate through the medium with finite speed and attenuation:

- **Birds**: Air pressure changes travel at the speed of sound.
- **Fish**: Water pressure waves travel at the speed of sound in water.
- **Ants**: Pheromone gradients diffuse over time.
- **Neurons**: Action potentials propagate at finite speeds.
- **Societies**: Information propagates through communication networks.
- **Universe**: Gravitational and electromagnetic signals propagate at the speed of light.

Implication: The speed and range of signal propagation determines the scale and coherence of collective behavior.

3.3 How Agents Alter the Medium

Agents do not just respond to the medium; they alter it:

- Birds create vortices that affect other birds.
- Fish create wakes that affect other fish.
- Ants lay trails that affect other ants.
- Humans create communication networks that affect other humans.
- Massive particles curve spacetime that affects other particles.

Implication: The medium is a dynamical system in its own right. It evolves in response to the agents it couples.

---

4. Empirical Foundations

4.1 Minimal Physical Coupling

Recent experiments show that purely mechanical interactions can induce alignment. Motile rods on a vibrating plate align through the flow of passive beads. Each rod drags nearby beads; neighboring rods “weathercock” into the resulting flow. No direct sensing or communication is required.

Fluid-dynamic models of flapping flyers show that a trailing bird is forced into formation by the vortices shed by the leader. In each case, the only coupling is via a medium—beads or air.

Implication: A physical medium alone—airflow, water flow, or contact forces—can carry the signals needed for group coherence.

4.2 Asymmetric Coupling

Network theory shows that non-reciprocal (asymmetric) coupling can speed consensus. In multiplex-network models, if one layer influences another more strongly than vice versa, convergence to a common state can be faster.

Implication: Having “leaders” or more-sensitive agents may improve group coordination. Optimal asymmetries can accelerate flocking or swarming.

4.3 Limits of Physical Coupling

Both theory and experiment show that pure physical coupling breaks down at modest group sizes. Fluid-dynamics experiments with robotic flapping wings find that beyond a handful of individuals, self-amplifying flow waves (“flonons”) form and disrupt the flock.

Implication: Purely physical coupling can only maintain coherence up to a critical size. Beyond that threshold, additional mechanisms (active sensing, feedback control, leadership) become necessary.

4.4 The Medium Shapes Collective Patterns

The physical properties of the medium strongly influence group morphology. In low-viscosity air, flocks form columnar or V-formations. In denser media (water, granular beads), schooling or milling patterns differ.

Implication: The characteristic patterns (lines, clusters, milling rings) vary with medium properties—sound speed, damping, dimensionality.

4.5 Stigmergy and Information Flow

Social insects coordinate using stigmergy: they lay pheromone trails or leave objects, and other ants respond to those environmental cues. As one review notes:

> *“Individuals leave traces or modify the environment in a way that alters the behaviour of others… the environment, therefore, documents and organises collective behaviour,*

driving coordination without the need for direct communication.”

Implication: Information is carried by changes in the medium, not by a shared, explicit model.

---

5. A Coupled Dynamical Systems Framework

5.1 Core Variables

The framework defines four core variables that can be operationalized across domains:

Variable	Definition	Mathematical Expression
κ (corrective permeability)	Rate of return to dynamical trajectory after perturbation	$\kappa = -\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{max})$ (dominant eigenvalue of recovery dynamics)
B (basin depth)	Energy barrier between attractor states	$B = \Delta V$ (potential barrier height)
C (coordination capacity)	Strength of coupling between components	C = f(connectivity, bandwidth, latency, reciprocity, coupling strength)
E (environmental fit)	Correspondence between system and environment	E = model-environment correspondence (not simply prediction accuracy)

5.2 Normalization for Cross-Domain Comparison

To enable meaningful cross-domain comparison, the variables are expressed in dimensionless form:

text

$\hat{\kappa} = \kappa$ / (characteristic perturbation timescale)$^{-1}$
$\hat{B} = B$ / (characteristic energy scale)
$\hat{C} = C$ / (characteristic coupling strength)
$\hat{E} = E$ / (characteristic environmental variance)

This normalization does not assume identical units across domains; rather, it allows relational comparison of dynamical properties.

5.3 Mathematical Grounding for κ

Near an attractor, κ can be approximated by the negative real component of the dominant eigenvalue of the Jacobian describing perturbation recovery dynamics. Specifically, if:

text

$$d\delta X/dt = J\delta X$$

where J is the Jacobian evaluated at the attractor, then:

text

$$\kappa = -\mathrm{Re}(\lambda\_max)$$

This gives κ a precise mathematical meaning—the rate of exponential return toward equilibrium after perturbation.

5.4 Domain-Specific Operationalization

Domain	κ	B	C	E
Active matter	Recovery rate after perturbation	Energy barrier between states	Coupling strength between particles	Alignment with external field
Biology	Homeostatic recovery rate	Activation energy for transition	Network connectivity	Environmental matching
Cognition	Belief revision rate	Cognitive dissonance barrier	Social network strength	Prediction accuracy
Society	Institutional response time	Policy transition barrier	Communication network strength	Policy effectiveness
Cosmos	Hubble approach to H_∞ (speculative)	Vacuum stability (inferred)	Large-scale structure coherence	ΛCDM fit

5.5 The Coupled Dynamical System

The core insight is that the medium evolves too. The real model is not Agent → Environment but a coupled dynamical system:

text

```
dX/dt = F(X, M) + η
dM/dt = G(M, X)
```

Where:

- **X** = system state
- **M** = medium state
- **η** = stochastic perturbation
- **F** = agent dynamics
- **G** = medium dynamics

This captures the reciprocal coupling between agents and their medium. The medium is not a passive background; it evolves in response to the agents it couples.

5.6 The Conceptual Diagram

```text
          Perturbation
               ↓
       ┌──────────────┐
       │   Agents     │
       └──────┬───────┘
              ↓
       Modify medium
              ↓
       ┌──────────────┐
       │   Medium     │
       └──────┬───────┘
              ↓
       Feedback alters agents
              ↓
          New attractor
```

This diagram captures the entire framework: agents modify the medium, the medium feeds back to agents, and the reciprocal coupling creates attractor dynamics.

---

6. PART II — Speculative Extension: Cosmological Applications of the Attractor Framework

6.1 Status

This section is a speculative extension of the framework. It *is offered as a generative hypothesis, not an established theory.*

6.2 The Three-Tier Structure

The framework extends to cosmology through a three-tier structure:

Level	System	Type
Roof	The universe	Provides boundary conditions and evolving geometric context
Middle	Life, mind, society	Dissipative open systems (energy exchange)
Floor	The metronomes	Conservative (persistent dynamical primitives)

Subsystems within the universe are dissipative open systems; the universe provides the boundary conditions and evolving geometric context in which those systems operate.

6.3 Candidate Persistent Dynamical Primitives

Three exceptionally persistent particle families—electrons, protons, and neutrino states—serve as candidate long-lived primitives. Their stability provides reference structures within the cosmic attractor landscape.

The analogy of "metronomes" is not proposed as a replacement gravitational mechanism but as a structural metaphor for persistent constraints within evolving systems. The term "metronome" is reserved for metaphorical sections; the technical term is "persistent reference structures."

Observation: The cosmic web of filaments and voids mirrors the structure of a prestressed material. Filaments are "strands under tension"; voids are regions of low density, expanding freely.

6.4 Space as an Expansive Medium

The framework treats spacetime geometry as a coupling medium:

- Cosmic expansion is interpreted as the dynamics of an expansive medium.
- Cosmic acceleration is interpreted analogically as an expansive stress term comparable to osmotic pressure in prestressed biological systems.

6.5 Dark Energy as Analogy

The cosmological constant (Λ) can be interpreted analogically as the cosmic “WHC-water discrepancy” in the prestressed systems framework:

Biological	Cosmological (Analogy)
WHC-water discrepancy	Dark energy
Collagen constrains swelling	Persistent primitives constrain expansion
Osmotic pressure drives swelling	Space expansion drives cosmic acceleration

6.6 Cosmic Variables (Speculative)

Variable	Cosmic Interpretation
κ	Rate at which the universe approaches its de Sitter attractor (speculative)
B	Vacuum stability (inferred from constant stability)
C	Coherence of large-scale structure (cosmic web)
E	Correspondence between model and observed universe

These are candidate interpretations requiring formal development.

7. Research Questions

7.1 Physical Coupling

Q1: Minimal Physical Coupling

- **Question:** What is the minimal physical coupling required for collective organization to emerge?
- **Hypothesis:** Collective organization requires only a physical medium—airflow, water flow, or contact forces.
- **Test:** Design experiments with minimal physical coupling and measure whether collective behavior emerges.
- **Falsification:** If no collective alignment emerges under purely physical coupling, the hypothesis is false.

Q2: Asymmetric Coupling

- **Question:** How does coupling asymmetry affect collective dynamics?
- **Hypothesis:** Asymmetric coupling—where some members are more sensitive to the medium than others—may be more efficient for collective organization.
- **Test:** Compare symmetric vs. asymmetric coupling in models of flocking or swarming.
- **Falsification:** If asymmetric networks never outperform symmetric ones, the hypothesis is false.

Q3: Limits of Physical Coupling

- **Question:** What are the limits of physical coupling?
- **Hypothesis:** There is a critical group size beyond which physical coupling alone cannot sustain collective coherence.

- **Test:** Measure the maximum group size that can maintain coherence through physical coupling alone.
- **Falsification:** If large groups (>10) remain stable without feedback, the hypothesis is false.

7.2 The Media of Coupling

Q4: Universal Properties of Media

- **Question:** What are the universal properties of coupling media?
- **Hypothesis:** All coupling media share structural properties: finite propagation speed, attenuation with distance, and two-way agent-medium feedback.
- **Test:** Develop a taxonomy of coupling media and identify their shared properties.
- **Falsification:** If medium properties fail to predict differences in collective behavior after controlling for agent properties, the medium hypothesis is weakened.

Q5: Medium Shapes Collective Patterns

- **Question:** How does the medium shape collective behavior?
- **Hypothesis:** The properties of the coupling medium determine the characteristic patterns of collective behavior.
- **Test:** Compare collective behavior in different media (air, water, mechanical contact).
- **Falsification:** If medium properties do not affect collective patterns, the hypothesis is false.

7.3 Collective Organization Without Shared Perception

Q6: Information Flow via Medium

- **Question:** How does information flow through physical coupling without shared perception?
- **Hypothesis:** Information flows through the medium, not through shared perception. The medium itself carries the signal.
- **Test:** Measure information flow in physically coupled systems.
- **Falsification:** If information does not flow through the medium, the hypothesis is false.

Q7: Physical vs. Information Coupling

- **Question:** What is the relationship between physical coupling and information coupling?
- **Hypothesis:** Information transfer requires a physical substrate, although the relevant coupling may be described at higher levels of abstraction.
- **Test:** Compare systems with physical coupling only, information coupling only, and both.
- **Falsification:** If information coupling can exist without physical coupling, the hypothesis is false.

7.4 Cosmological Extension (Speculative)

Q8: Universe as Prestressed System

- **Question:** How can the universe be understood as a prestressed system?
- **Hypothesis:** The universe can be interpreted as a prestressed system—with stable particles as “rebar” and space as “osmotic pressure.”
- **Test:** Model the expansion history as the dynamics of a prestressed system.
- **Falsification:** If the model does not match ΛCDM observations, the hypothesis is false.

Q9: Cosmic Variables

- **Question:** What are κ, B, C, and E at cosmic scale?
- **Hypothesis:** κ, B, C, and E can be defined consistently at cosmic scale.
- **Test:** Develop operational definitions for cosmological variables and test their predictions.
- **Falsification:** If variables cannot be defined consistently at cosmic scale, the framework is not universal.

Q10: Persistent Primitives and Expansion

- **Question:** How do persistent dynamical primitives constrain expansion?
- **Hypothesis:** The cosmic web is the "tissue" of the universe—a prestressed structure held together by persistent reference structures.
- **Test:** Model the cosmic web as a prestressed structure.
- **Falsification:** If the cosmic web does not reflect persistent primitive constraints, the hypothesis is false.

7.5 Synthesis and Formalization

Q11: Scale Invariance

- **Question:** Are κ, B, C, and E scale-invariant?
- **Hypothesis:** κ, B, C, and E can be defined consistently across scales.
- **Test:** Develop operational definitions for each variable across scales.
- **Falsification:** If variables cannot be defined consistently across scales, the framework is not universal.

Q12: Units and Dimensional Consistency

- **Question:** What are the units of κ, B, C, and E in each domain?
- **Hypothesis:** Consistent cross-scale units can be defined.
- **Test:** Develop dimensional analysis for each variable across domains.
- **Falsification:** If variables cannot be given consistent units, the framework is not operational.

Q13: Domain-Independent State Equation

- **Question:** Can a domain-independent state equation be written?
- **Hypothesis:** A domain-independent state equation can be written with κ, B, C, and E as parameters.
- **Test:** Formulate state equations for multiple domains and test their predictions.
- **Falsification:** If each domain requires different equations, the framework is a taxonomy.

Q14: κ from Interaction Topology

- **Question:** Does κ emerge from interaction topology?
- **Hypothesis:** κ can be derived from the structure of the interaction manifold.
- **Test:** Model κ as a function of interaction topology and test against data.
- **Falsification:** If κ cannot be derived from topology, it remains primitive.

Q15: B Conserved or Variable

- **Question:** Is B conserved or variable?
- **Hypothesis:** B exhibits systematic behavior over time.

- **Test:** Measure B longitudinally across domains.
- **Falsification:** If B shows no systematic behavior, the concept is not operational.

Q16: Coupling of Variables

- **Question:** How do κ, B, C, and E couple?
- **Hypothesis:** κ, B, C, and E are coupled through definable relationships.
- **Test:** Measure variables across domains and analyze their relationships.
- **Falsification:** If variables show no systematic relationships, the framework lacks predictive power.

---

8. Research Agenda

Priority 1: Physical Coupling (Q1–Q3)

1. **Minimal-coupling experiments:** Controlled multi-agent experiments with no communication or sensing, only physical coupling. Vary the medium (air, water, granular) and measure emergent order.
2. **Asymmetry vs. symmetry simulations:** Agent-based models with symmetric and asymmetric coupling. Measure convergence speed and coherence.
3. **Group-size limits:** Systematically vary group size of mechanically-coupled agents and observe when coherence breaks. Identify maximum size before collisions or disorder ensue.

Priority 2: Media of Coupling (Q4–Q5)

4. **Taxonomy of coupling media:** Formal classification of media by signal properties (propagation speed, attenuation, dimensionality).
5. **Medium-dependent behavior comparisons:** Parallel experiments or simulations of identical agents in different media. Compare pattern formation, correlation lengths, oscillation modes.

Priority 3: Collective Organization (Q6–Q7)

6. **Stigmergy and information flow:** Controlled stigmergic systems (robots that deposit markers). Compare coordination to physical coupling only. Use information-theoretic measures to quantify information flow.

Priority 4: Cosmology (Q8–Q10)

7. **Cosmology mapping studies:** Simplified models of the universe-as-prestressed-system. Compute κ by linearizing Friedmann equations. Develop operational definitions for cosmic B, C, E.

Priority 5: Synthesis (Q11–Q16)

8. **Cross-scale variable measurement:** Attempt to measure κ, B, C, E in situ across systems. Use dimensionless normalization for comparison. Test for correlations.

9. Falsification Criteria

Question	Falsification Criterion
Q1	No collective alignment under purely physical coupling
Q2	Asymmetric coupling never outperforms symmetric
Q3	Large groups (>10) remain stable without feedback
Q4	Medium properties fail to predict differences in collective behavior after controlling for agent properties
Q5	Medium properties do not affect collective patterns
Q6	Information does not flow through the medium
Q7	Information coupling without physical coupling exists
Q8	Universe model does not match ΛCDM observations
Q9	Variables cannot be defined at cosmic scale
Q10	Cosmic web does not reflect persistent primitive constraints
Q11	Variables cannot be defined consistently across scales
Q12	Variables cannot be given consistent units
Q13	Each domain requires different equations
Q14	κ cannot be derived from topology
Q15	B shows no systematic behavior
Q16	Variables show no systematic relationships

10. Implications

10.1 Adaptive Organization Across Dissipative Systems

Analogous dynamical principles—feedback, constraint, energy exchange, and attractor formation—appear across scales, although their governing equations differ. The same thermodynamic sequence governs biological evolution, cognitive adaptation, social transformation, and cosmic structure formation.

10.2 Collective Organization Is Physical

Collective organization is not mystical. It emerges from the physical coupling of individual systems through a medium. The medium is an active participant in the dynamics.

10.3 The Universe Is a Coupled System

The universe is not a static background. It is the dynamic constraint field within which all organized dissipative systems continuously negotiate persistence.

10.4 The Framework Is a Lens

The framework does not replace existing science. It unifies it. It reveals the common pattern underlying established observations across domains.

---

11. Conclusion

The universe is not a static background. It is the dynamic constraint field within which all organized dissipative systems continuously negotiate persistence. Evolution is the history of those negotiations.

The universal sequence is:

> ***Perturbation → excitation → dissipation → reconfiguration → new basin.***

The mechanism is dynamic stabilization through energy exchange, information flow, and constraint maintenance.

The coupling is physical.

The outcomes are restoration, transition, or dissolution.

The Safeguard is corrigibility—the capacity to remain coupled to the changing constraint field.

The medium is an active participant in collective organization.

The hypothesis is that related organizational motifs recur across domains: feedback, constraint, energy exchange, and attractor formation.

The framework is offered as a generative research program—a lens for seeing connections across disciplines, not a replacement for existing theories.

Fou Sho Nang Ying.

References

Galida, R. (2026). *The Persistence Protocol: A Framework for Understanding and Navigating the Dynamics of Complex Systems*. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.

Galida, R. (2026). *Universal Evolutionary Dynamics: A*

Thermodynamic Theory of Persistence, Transition, and Dissolution. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.

Galida, R. (2026). *The Universe as a Prestressed System: A Taoist Cosmology*. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.

Galida, R. (2026). *The Thermodynamics of Corrigibility: Information Storage, Symmetry Breaking, and the Safeguard*. Fantasy Attractor Research Program.