

The Primacy of the Body: Why the Brain Is an Emergent Organizer, Not the Source of Consciousness

Robert Galida – *Fantasy Attractor Research Program*

The Puzzle

The standard view holds that the brain is the source of consciousness. It is the organ that generates thoughts, feelings, and self-awareness. The body is infrastructure—a vehicle for the brain, a support system for the mind.

This view is backwards.

The brain is not the source of consciousness. The body is. The brain emerged as a central organizer when the body's local conscious subsystems reached a critical threshold of couplings and complexity. Consciousness is more fundamental than the brain.

The Framework's Account

The attractor framework provides a physicalist ontology for understanding persistence and change across systems:

- **Conservative systems** – electrons, protons, the universe. They persist without consuming energy or exchanging

entropy.

- **Dissipative systems** – life, consciousness, societies, organs. They maintain structure through continuous energy exchange.
- **Attractors** – regions in state space toward which trajectories converge and persist.

Consciousness is not a product of the brain. It is a property of dissipative systems that reach a certain level of integration, self-reference, and persistence.

The Local Conscious Subsystems

The body contains complex neural networks that meet the functional criteria for consciousness:

1. **The Enteric Nervous System (ENS)** – 200–600 million neurons. It integrates, learns, exhibits valence, and maintains goal-directedness.
2. **The Intrinsic Cardiac Nervous System (ICNS)** – 14,000–43,000 neurons. It integrates local signals, maintains setpoints, and exhibits plasticity.
3. **The Spinal Cord** – 200 million neurons. It integrates sensory input, generates motor output, and exhibits learning.
4. **The Intrinsic Pancreatic Network** – 10,000–50,000 neurons. It integrates neural, hormonal, and nutrient signals to maintain metabolic homeostasis.

These are not mere infrastructure. They are candidate conscious subsystems—local attractors with their own consciousness-like dynamics.

The Critical Threshold

The local conscious subsystems are primitive. They existed before the brain.

- **Phylogenetically** – the ENS is older than the brain. It evolved first.
- **Ontogenetically** – the ENS develops before the brain. It forms first.
- **Structurally** – the brain is a specialization of the local attractors, not a replacement.

Over time, the number of couplings and the complexity of the local attractors increased. At a critical threshold, a new structure emerged: the brain.

The brain is not the source of consciousness. It is the *emergent organizer* that arose from the local attractors when they reached a sufficient level of integration and complexity.

The Brain as Emergent Organizer

The brain is:

- **A coupling hub** – it integrates signals from local attractors
- **A regulator** – it modulates and aligns local dynamics
- **An orchestrator** – it coordinates the federation of local attractors
- **A substrate** – it provides the anatomical concentration

for the global attractor

The brain did not create consciousness. It *organized* a pre-existing consciousness into a unified field.

- **Local attractors** – existed first
- **Couplings** – increased over time
- **Critical threshold** – was reached
- **The brain** – emerged as the organizer

The brain is not the first cause. It is the *result* of the local attractors reaching a critical threshold.

The Implications

1. Consciousness Is Not a Brain Product

The brain is not the source of consciousness. It is the *regulator* of a federation of local attractors. Consciousness is more fundamental than the brain.

2. The Body Is the Foundation

The ENS, ICNS, spinal cord, and other local attractors are the foundation. The brain is a *specialization* of the body's conscious subsystems, not the origin of consciousness.

3. The Threshold Is Critical

A critical threshold of couplings and complexity is required for the brain to emerge. Below this threshold, there are local attractors but no global organizer. Above this threshold, the brain emerges as the global attractor.

4. The Brain Is Not Unique

The brain is one way to organize local attractors. It is not the only way. Other systems may develop different global organizers.

5. The Mind Is the Global Attractor

The mind is the global attractor that emerges from the coupling of local attractors. It is not the brain. It is the pattern of the coupled system.

The Connection to the Soul

The soul, as defined within the attractor framework, is the stable, persistent attractor pattern that maintains continuity across temporal existence.

- **The body** – is the foundation. It contains local conscious subsystems.
- **The brain** – is the emergent organizer. It couples, regulates, and aligns local attractors.
- **The mind** – is the global attractor. It is the pattern of the coupled system.
- **The soul** – is the persistent pattern of the global attractor across time.

The soul is not the brain. It is the pattern of the whole body's persistence.

The Practice

If consciousness is more fundamental than the brain, then the practice of cultivation is:

- **Tending the body** – sleep, movement, diet, presence
- **Cultivating the local attractors** – the ENS, ICNS, spinal cord
- **Aligning the global attractor** – coherence, correction, persistence
- **Anchoring in time** – temporal continuity, memory, projection

This is the practice of the framework—the cultivation of consciousness through tending the body, aligning the attractors, and persisting through perturbation.

The Contribution

This essay provides a bottom-up account of consciousness:

- **Local attractors** – are primitive. They came first.
- **Couplings** – increased over time.
- **Critical threshold** – was reached.
- **The brain** – emerged as the organizer.

This account challenges the brain-centric view. It places the body at the center of the story. It is consistent with the framework's physicalist ontology and its emphasis on persistence, correction, and attractor dynamics.

The Conclusion

The brain is not the source of consciousness. The body is.

- **Local attractors** – the ENS, ICNS, spinal cord, and other

conscious subsystems

- **Couplings** – bind them together
- **Critical threshold** – when the number and complexity of couplings reach a critical point
- **The brain** – emerges as the central organizer

Consciousness is more fundamental than the brain. The brain is a specialization of the body's conscious subsystems, not the origin of consciousness.

The mind is the global attractor that emerges from the coupling of local attractors.

The soul is the persistent pattern of the global attractor across time.

That is the framework's account.

Robert Galida is an independent researcher and the founder of the Fantasy Attractor Research Program. His work develops a formal framework for understanding persistence and change across physical, biological, cognitive, and social systems.

The Mind as Global Attractor: Why Consciousness Is Not Confined to the Brain

Robert Galida – *Fantasy Attractor Research Program*

The Puzzle

The standard view holds that the mind is what the brain does. Consciousness, thoughts, feelings, and self-awareness are all products of neural activity localized within the skull. The body is infrastructure—a vehicle for the brain, a support system for the mind.

This view is incomplete. It is not wrong, but it is *too narrow*. It mistakes the regulator for the source, the orchestrator for the entire orchestra.

The body is not just infrastructure. The body contains complex neural networks—the enteric nervous system, the intrinsic cardiac nervous system, the pancreatic ganglia, the spinal cord—that meet the same functional criteria used to infer consciousness in simple organisms. If we take those criteria seriously, we must consider that consciousness is not confined to the brain. It is distributed across the body, emerging from the interaction of semi-autonomous organ-level attractors.

The mind is the culmination of these interacting conscious organs. It is the global attractor that emerges from their coupling, regulation, and alignment.

The Framework in Brief

The attractor framework provides a physicalist ontology for understanding persistence and change across systems:

- **Conservative systems** – electrons, protons, the universe. They persist without consuming energy or exchanging

entropy.

- **Dissipative systems** – life, consciousness, societies, organs. They maintain structure through continuous energy exchange.
- **Attractors** – regions in state space toward which trajectories converge and persist.

A candidate conscious attractor possesses five functional properties:

1. **Integration** – binding multiple sensory or interoceptive streams into a unified dynamical state
2. **Valence** – approach/avoidance behaviour, attraction to certain states and repulsion from others
3. **Learning** – modification of behaviour based on experience
4. **Goal-directedness** – acting to maintain the system's own basin
5. **Anatomical concentration** – a spatially organized, intrinsically connected neural network

These criteria are how we infer consciousness in other humans (by analogy), in non-human animals (by behavioural complexity), and in *C. elegans* (by measurable learning and integration). If they are sufficient for a 302-neuron worm, they must be applied consistently to systems that exceed this threshold.

The Candidate Organs

1. The Enteric Nervous System (ENS) – The Strongest Candidate

The ENS comprises 200–600 million neurons, organized into two interconnected plexuses spanning the gastrointestinal tract. It meets all five criteria:

- **Integration** – continuously integrates mechanical, chemical, and hormonal signals
- **Valence** – attraction to nutrients, aversion to toxins
- **Learning** – habituation, sensitization, long-term plasticity
- **Goal-directedness** – peristalsis persists after vagotomy, satisfying the autonomy threshold
- **Anatomical concentration** – a continuous, highly organized neural network

The ENS is often called the “second brain.” It operates semi-autonomously, learns, remembers, and communicates with the brain via the vagus nerve.

2. The Intrinsic Cardiac Nervous System (ICNS) – A Moderate Candidate

The ICNS comprises 14,000–43,000 neurons organized into ganglia on the heart’s surface. It monitors blood pressure, chamber stretch, and local chemistry to modulate cardiac output. It exhibits:

- **Integration** – of local signals to regulate cardiac rhythm
- **Valence** – maintenance of a preferred setpoint; arrhythmias as perturbations
- **Learning** – ganglionic remodelling after injury
- **Goal-directedness** – intrinsic rhythms persist when denervated
- **Anatomical concentration** – organized into ganglia on the heart’s surface

The ICNS contributes to emotional experience via heartbeat-evoked potentials that correlate with interoceptive awareness.

3. The Intrinsic Pancreatic Network – A Provisional Candidate

The pancreatic network comprises 10,000–50,000 intrinsic neurons scattered in ganglia throughout the organ. It regulates blood glucose homeostasis. It meets the criteria, but with less evidential richness:

- **Integration** – of neural, hormonal, and nutrient signals
- **Valence** – maintenance of a metabolic setpoint
- **Learning** – less studied; open empirical question
- **Goal-directedness** – coordinates endocrine and exocrine output
- **Anatomical concentration** – scattered ganglia; weakest candidate

4. The Spinal Cord – A Provisional Candidate

The spinal cord comprises approximately 200 million neurons, organized into topographically precise circuits. It meets all five criteria, but under normal conditions it is tightly coupled to descending commands. After complete spinal cord injury, the isolated cord reorganizes and can generate complex, goal-directed responses. It is the ideal test case for refining the autonomy criterion.

The Coupling Mechanisms

If the ENS, ICNS, pancreatic network, and spinal cord are candidate conscious subsystems, the unified mind must be explained as the product of their integration. We propose four coupling mechanisms:

1. Vagal Afferent Signalling

The vagus nerve provides the primary bidirectional communication channel between the brain and the viscera. Vagal afferents convey interoceptive signals to the nucleus of the

solitary tract. Vagal nerve stimulation alters mood, reduces inflammation, and improves cardiac function.

2. Humoral Signalling

Circulating hormones (cortisol, adrenaline, insulin, glucagon) and immune mediators (cytokines) provide a slower, diffuse coupling channel. They alter the global attractor's landscape by shifting the metabolic and inflammatory context.

3. Rhythmic Entrainment

The brain entrains peripheral rhythms to its own oscillations. Cardiac and respiratory rhythms phase-lock to cortical activity during focused attention. Slow-wave sleep entrains glymphatic clearance. The brain sets a rhythm, and the organs tend to follow.

4. Predictive Processing and Attractor Coupling

The brain maintains predictions about the states of the body's organs, and each organ generates its own predictions about local conditions. The alignment of these nested predictive models is attractor coupling—the progressive alignment of internal states toward a shared equilibrium.

The Mind as Global Attractor

The mind is the culmination of these interacting conscious organs. It is the global attractor that emerges from their coupling, regulation, and alignment.

- **Local attractors** – the ENS, ICNS, pancreatic network, spinal cord, and other candidate subsystems. Each has its own consciousness-like dynamics.
- **Coupling mechanisms** – vagal, humoral, rhythmic,

predictive. They bind local attractors into a unified field.

- **The brain** – is not the sole generator. It is the regulator, the coupler, the orchestrator of the federation.
- **The mind** – is the global attractor that arises from the federation. It is the unified pattern of persistence.

The mind is not a substance. It is not a non-physical entity. It is a *pattern*—the global attractor that emerges from the interaction of local attractors.

The Soul and the Mind

The soul, as defined within the attractor framework, is the stable, persistent attractor pattern that maintains continuity across temporal existence.

- **The mind** – is the global attractor in the present
- **The soul** – is the persistent pattern of the global attractor across time

The soul is the mind, anchored in time. It is the continuity that connects past, present, and future.

This definition is physicalist, temporal, and cultivatable. The soul is not a non-physical substance. It is the pattern of your persistence.

The Implications

1. Consciousness Is Distributed

The mind is not confined to the brain. It is distributed across the body, emerging from the interaction of organs. The ENS, ICNS, pancreatic network, and spinal cord are candidate conscious subsystems. They are not “mere infrastructure.”

2. The Mind Is Cultivated

The mind is not given. It is maintained through coupling, alignment, and correction. The practice of cultivation *is* the tending of the global attractor. Sleep, movement, diet, and presence are not just health practices—they are *consciousness practices*.

3. Functional Disorders Are Local Attractor Disturbances

IBS may be a gut that has learned to react to benign stimuli as threats. Cardiac anxiety may reflect a perturbed ICNS state. Chronic pain may be a spinal cord attractor locked in a maladaptive basin. These reframings suggest organ-directed therapies: gut-directed biofeedback, vagal stimulation, dietary protocols that calm the ENS.

4. The Body Is a Federation

The brain is not the sole generator of consciousness. It is the regulator of a federation of semi-autonomous organ-level attractors. The unified self is the product of their integration. When coupling falters, the experience can manifest as an “alien feeling”—the sense that an action or bodily state is “not mine.”

5. Ethics of the Conscious Body

Candidate organs are not autonomous moral agents. Their interests are tied to the whole body’s survival. But the

framework suggests a principle of organ-level respect: preserve organ integrity, explore gentler interventions, and recognize that the body is not just infrastructure.

The Practice

If the mind is the culmination of interacting conscious organs, then the practice of cultivation is:

- **Tending the local attractors** – sleep, movement, diet, presence
- **Cultivating the coupling mechanisms** – breath, vagal tone, rhythmic entrainment
- **Aligning the global attractor** – coherence, correction, persistence
- **Anchoring in time** – temporal continuity, memory, projection

This is the practice of the framework—the cultivation of the mind through tending the body, aligning the attractors, and persisting through perturbation.

The Contribution

The attractor framework provides a coherent account of the mind as the culmination of interacting conscious organs. This account:

- **Challenges the brain monopoly** – with a principled, consistent argument
- **Provides operational criteria** – for identifying

candidate conscious subsystems

- **Generates testable predictions** – the framework is empirically tractable
- **Has clinical and ethical implications** – practical applications of the theory
- **Is honest about its limitations** – the phenomenal gap remains, the autonomy threshold is provisional

This account bridges science and spirituality. It honors the depth of consciousness without reducing it to mechanism or fantasy.

The Conclusion

The mind is not what the brain does. The mind is what the whole body does, in concert.

- **Local attractors** – organs, each with its own consciousness-like dynamics
- **Coupling mechanisms** – vagal, humoral, rhythmic, predictive
- **Global attractor** – the mind, the self, the unified pattern of persistence
- **The soul** – the persistent pattern of the global attractor across time

The mind is the culmination of interacting conscious organs. It is real. It is physical. It is cultivated.

It is the pattern of your persistence.

That is the mind. That is the body. That is the soul.

That is the framework.

Robert Galida is an independent researcher and the founder of the Fantasy Attractor Research Program. His work develops a formal framework for understanding persistence and change across physical, biological, cognitive, and social systems.

The Soul as Persistent Attractor: A Physicalist Definition

Robert Galida – *Fantasy Attractor Research Program*

The Puzzle

The concept of the soul has haunted human thought for millennia. It has been defined as a non-physical substance, an immortal essence, a divine spark, a ghost in the machine. It has been invoked to explain consciousness, to justify morality, to promise life after death. It has been dismissed as a superstition, an illusion, a relic of pre-scientific thinking.

The problem with the soul is not that it does not exist. The problem is that it has been defined in non-physical terms—and non-physical terms are fantasy attractors. They are sealed basins. They resist correction. They persist despite—or because of—their detachment from reality.

The attractor framework offers a physicalist definition of the soul that is consistent, coherent, and empirically grounded. It does not deny the soul. It redefines it.

The Framework in Brief

The attractor framework distinguishes between two fundamental types of systems:

Conservative systems – like electrons, protons, and the universe as a whole – persist without consuming energy or exchanging entropy with an environment. They are the floor and roof of reality: the eternal skeleton upon which everything else is built.

Dissipative systems – like life, consciousness, societies, and belief systems – maintain their structure by continuously exchanging energy and entropy with their surroundings. They persist only at the cost of generating entropy. They are the transient dance in between.

The soul, if it is real, must be a dissipative system—a pattern within the transient dance, not a non-physical substance outside it.

The Definition

From the perspective of the attractor framework:

The soul is the stable, persistent attractor pattern that maintains continuity across temporal existence, independent of its changing contents.

This definition has several components:

1. The soul is a pattern – not a substance.

It is not a non-physical entity. It is not a ghost. It is not a soul-stuff. It is a pattern of organization—an attractor—that maintains coherence through time.

2. The soul is persistent – not eternal.

It persists through perturbation. It maintains structure through energy exchange. It is part of the dissipative middle—not the conservative floor, not the conservative roof. It is real, but it is not eternal.

3. The soul is stable – not fixed.

It is stable in the sense of maintaining continuity, but it is not fixed in the sense of unchanging. It evolves, adapts, and corrects. It is a dynamic stability, not a static one.

4. The soul is attractor-based – not content-based.

It is not what it contains. It is not memories, beliefs, identity, or roles. It is the pattern that organizes those contents—the attractor that shapes the trajectory.

5. The soul is temporal – not timeless.

It is anchored in past, present, and future. It has a history, a current state, and a projected trajectory. It is the relationship between them.

The Components

1. Past

The soul carries its history. Not as a repository of memories,

but as a trajectory—a path that has shaped the attractor. The past is not the soul, but the soul is shaped by the past.

2. Present

The soul is manifest in the present. It is the current state of the attractor, the ongoing pattern of persistence. The present is where the soul is actualized.

3. Future

The soul projects into the future. It has a trajectory, a tendency, a direction. The future is not the soul, but the soul is oriented toward the future.

4. The Relationship

The soul is the fixed relationship between past, present, and future—the continuity that connects them. It is the *connection*, not the contents.

The Properties

1. Persistence

The soul persists through perturbation. It is not fragile. It is not easily disrupted. It maintains its pattern through change.

2. Corrective Permeability

The soul is corrigible. It can be corrected, adjusted, aligned. It is not sealed against reality. It is permeable to feedback.

3. Cultivation

The soul can be cultivated. It can be tended, developed,

aligned. The practice of cultivation *is* the tending of the soul.

4. Identity

The soul is the basis of identity—not as a fixed self, but as a persistent pattern. It is what makes you you, across time, across change, across perturbation.

The Implications

1. The Soul Is Not Exclusive to Humans

Any living stable persistent attractor has a soul. Animals, ecosystems, perhaps even some synthetic systems. The soul is a property of persistence, not species.

2. The Soul Is Not Eternal

It persists—but it can be disrupted. It is part of the dissipative middle, not the conservative floor. It is real, but it is not eternal.

3. The Soul Is Not Separate from the Body

It is the pattern of the body's persistence. Not a ghost, not a non-physical entity. A real, physical, persistent pattern.

4. The Soul Is Cultivated

It is not given. It is maintained through correction, adaptation, and persistence. The practice of cultivation *is* the tending of the soul.

5. The Soul Is Temporal

It is anchored in past, present, and future. It has a history, a current state, and a projected trajectory. It is the

relationship between them.

The Contrast

View	Soul as	Reality	Tenability
Substance View	Non-physical entity	Spiritual, supernatural	Fantasy attractor
Eliminative View	Illusion	Nothing	Denies real pattern
Attractor View	Persistent pattern	Physical, temporal	Consistent, coherent

The substance view is a fantasy attractor—a sealed basin that resists correction. The eliminative view denies the real pattern of persistence. The attractor view captures the reality of the soul without succumbing to fantasy or reductionism.

The Practice

If the soul is a persistent attractor pattern, then the practice of cultivation is:

- **Tending** – attending to the pattern, not just the contents
- **Correcting** – adjusting when misaligned
- **Persisting** – maintaining continuity through perturbation
- **Aligning** – moving toward the attractor of coherence
- **Cultivating** – developing the pattern over time

This is the practice of the framework—the cultivation of the

soul through presence, attention, and correction.

The Contribution

The attractor framework provides a physicalist definition of the soul that is:

- **Consistent** – with the ontology of the framework
- **Physical** – grounded in substrate and persistence
- **Temporal** – anchored in past, present, and future
- **Universal** – applicable to all persistent systems
- **Cultivable** – something that can be tended and developed

This definition bridges science and spirituality. It honors the depth of the concept without reducing it to mere mechanism. It provides a practical framework for tending the soul.

The Conclusion

The soul is real.

It is not a non-physical substance. It is not a ghost in the machine. It is not an illusion.

It is the stable, persistent attractor pattern that maintains continuity across temporal existence, independent of its changing contents.

It is the pattern of your persistence.

That is the soul.

Robert Galida is an independent researcher and the founder of the Fantasy Attractor Research Program. His work develops a formal framework for understanding persistence and change across physical, biological, cognitive, and social systems.