

# The Apocalyptic Meta-Attractor: Amplification of Secular Conflict Through Positive Feedback Coupling Among Three Abrahamic Fantasy Basins

Robert Galida

Independent Researcher

June 2026

[fantasyattractor.com](http://fantasyattractor.com)

---

## Abstract

Judaism, Christianity, and Islam each contain sealed apocalyptic attractor basins—self-reinforcing belief systems anticipating an imminent, divinely orchestrated end of the world. In the modern era, these basins have become coupled through mutually reinforcing positive feedback: financial, political, rhetorical, and military interactions that deepen each basin and synchronize their expectations. This paper argues that the primary drivers of Middle East conflict are secular—resource competition, nationalism, territorial disputes, and great-power proxy dynamics—but that the apocalyptic layer functions as a powerful amplifier, coupling the basins and making de-escalation more difficult. We provide an operational definition of an apocalyptic attractor, assess corrective permeability ( $\kappa$ ) qualitatively across the movements using a six-indicator ordinal scale, catalogue the reframing of failed prophecies, and ground the dynamics in social

psychology with supplementary neuroscience. We document the coupling mechanisms, acknowledge secular drivers explicitly, and include a base-rate analysis of violent and non-violent apocalyptic movements using state-coupling as the distinguishing criterion. Falsifiability conditions are specified, including a time-bound refutation condition with defined measurement instruments. The paper does not predict inevitability; it identifies structural tendencies that elevate the risk of catastrophic war and argues that reducing the apocalyptic amplifier—alongside secular de-escalation pathways—is necessary to weaken the feedback loop.

---

## **1. Introduction: The Amplification of Conflict**

Three major world religions share a geographic flashpoint. Three apocalyptic scripts share a common narrative structure: a final battle, a divinely appointed victor, and a transformed world. For most of history, these scripts ran on separate tracks. Now, they are coupled.

Christian Zionists, citing Revelation and Ezekiel, view the modern State of Israel as a prophetic prerequisite for the Rapture and the Battle of Armageddon. Jewish messianists, emboldened by territorial expansion and military conflict, interpret these events as the birth pangs of the Messiah. Shia Islamists in Iran frame their geopolitical confrontation as the necessary conditions for the return of the Hidden Imam, the Mahdi. Each group sees current events through an apocalyptic lens. Each interprets the actions of the others as confirmatory signs. Through decades of mutual perturbation, the three basins have become linked by a positive feedback loop: each tradition's actions deepen the others' basins, which in turn generate counter-actions that further deepen the original basins.

The attractor framework (Galida, 2026a) defines a fantasy attractor as a belief system with low corrective permeability ( $\kappa$ )—it resists updating when confronted with contradictory evidence and often seeks to colonize or destroy rival basins. This paper argues that the three apocalyptic basins now constitute a coupled system that amplifies secular conflict and structurally elevates the probability of a catastrophic war. It does not claim apocalyptic belief is the primary cause of the conflict; it claims it is a critical amplifier and coupling mechanism that makes de-escalation more difficult.

---

## **2. The Three Apocalyptic Basins: A Structural Description with $\kappa$ Assessment**

### **2.1 Defining the Apocalyptic Attractor**

An apocalyptic attractor is a self-reinforcing belief pattern meeting four criteria: (a) expectation of an imminent, dramatic end-of-world transformation; (b) a designated enemy or scapegoat, often identified with evil or another religion; (c) a script of a final cosmic battle leading to a new world order; and (d) resistance to disconfirming evidence (low  $\kappa$ ). This distinguishes apocalyptic attractors from general eschatological hope, which can accommodate ambiguous timing and symbolism.

The “designated enemy” criterion is consistent with social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979), which identifies intergroup differentiation as a primary mechanism for producing hostility toward out-groups. More specifically, the theory’s identity-threat prediction—that perceived threats to the in-group produce escalating in-group cohesion and out-group derogation—is directly relevant here. The apocalyptic script provides a transcendent, identity-anchored justification for intergroup conflict, and each perturbation

by an out-group (military attack, political encroachment, demographic shift) intensifies that justification. This mechanism helps explain why the three basins deepened rather than moderated in response to the October 7 attack and its aftermath.

## 2.2 Measuring Corrective Permeability ( $\kappa$ )

Corrective permeability is assessed qualitatively at the movement level using a simple ordinal scale—Low, Medium, High—across six indicators: (1) response to prophetic failure (reframing vs. abandonment), (2) tolerance for internal dissent on eschatological doctrine, (3) engagement with disconfirming historical or scientific evidence, (4) willingness to set and discard specific dates, (5) response to external criticism (engagement vs. attack), and (6) internal diversity of eschatological opinion *within the specific movement under analysis*. A movement that consistently reframes, purges dissent, avoids evidence, resets dates, attacks critics, and suppresses diversity is rated Low  $\kappa$ . A movement that absorbs criticism, permits debate, and revises doctrine is rated High  $\kappa$ . The following assessments are preliminary; where evidence is thin, this is noted.

## 2.3 $\kappa$ Assessment Across the Three Basins

Indicator	Jewish Messianism (Religious Zionist factions)	Christian Dispensationalism (CUFI-aligned)	Shia Mahdism (Iranian state-aligned)
1. Response to prophetic failure	Reframes (e.g., October 7 as “Messiah ben Yosef”) – Low	Reframes (dates recalibrated repeatedly) – Low	Reframes (Mahdi’s arrival perpetually imminent; divine test) – Low

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Jewish Messianism (Religious Zionist factions)</b>	<b>Christian Dispensationalism (CUFI-aligned)</b>	<b>Shia Mahdism (Iranian state-aligned)</b>
2. Tolerance for internal dissent	Low within core groups; anti-Zionist Orthodox ostracized	Moderate internally; but dissent from core eschatology marginalized	Low; state-level suppression of alternative Shia voices
3. Engagement with disconfirming evidence	Low; historical failures not addressed	Low; archaeological/textual challenges ignored	Low; evidence not engaged by official discourse
4. Willingness to set/discard dates	Rarely sets precise dates; broad “soon” framing – Medium-Low*	Repeated precise date-setting and recalibration – Low	Avoids precise dates; “signs” approach – Medium-Low**
5. Response to external criticism	Attack/reframe – Low	Attack/reframe – Low	Attack/reframe – Low
6. Internal diversity of eschatological opinion (movement-level)	Low within the Religious Zionist movement*** – Low	Low within CUFI-aligned dispensationalism – Low	Low diversity in state-backed discourse – Low

\* *Annotated note:* Avoiding precise dates may reflect strategic adaptation to past messianic failures (e.g., Bar Kokhba, Sabbatai Zevi) rather than genuine corrective permeability. A movement that learned not to set falsifiable dates after catastrophic disappointments is demonstrating sophisticated reframing that pre-empts falsification, not higher κ.

\* *Annotated note:* The “signs” approach in Shia Mahdism serves a similar function: it avoids fixed-date vulnerability while maintaining perpetual imminence.

\* *Annotated note:* The contrast between religious-messianic

and secular Zionism is between movements, not within the Religious Zionist movement. Internal eschatological diversity within Religious Zionist factions is low.

*Overall  $\kappa$  assessment:* All three movements exhibit Low  $\kappa$  across most indicators. The consistently low ratings on indicators 1, 2, 3, and 5 across all three basins support a qualitative  $\kappa \approx$  Low. Indicators 4 and 6 require the interpretive caveats noted above but do not alter the overall assessment.

---

## **3. Why These Basins Hold: Social Psychology and Neural Correlates**

### **3.1 The Reframing of Failed Prophecy**

The persistence of apocalyptic belief despite repeated falsification is well-documented. Festinger, Riecken, and Schachter (1956) found that when a doomsday prophecy failed, the most committed believers became *more* convinced, reinterpreting the event as spiritual fulfillment. Melton (1985) showed that prophecies are routinely spiritualized and reaffirmed. The Millerites (1844), Jehovah's Witnesses (multiple dates), and ISIS (Dabiq, 2016) all reframed failure rather than abandoning belief. This pattern—reframe, recalibrate, reaffirm—is the behavioral signature of a low- $\kappa$  attractor.

### **3.2 Neural Correlates of Sacred Values (Supplementary)**

The neuroscience of sacred values offers a supporting explanation. Hamid et al. (2019) found that individuals willing to fight and die for sacred causes exhibit reduced dlPFC activity and increased reliance on emotional/valuation circuits. Zhong et al. (2017) showed that dlPFC lesions predicted increased religious fundamentalism, mediated by

reduced cognitive flexibility. These findings suggest that when beliefs are processed as sacred, the neural apparatus for updating is partially disengaged. We treat this as supplementary to the primary social-psychological mechanism.

---

## **4. Historical Calibration: When Apocalyptic Attractors Amplify Violence**

We distinguish violent from non-violent apocalyptic movements using **state coupling** as the key criterion—the degree to which the movement controls or is embedded within state military power—because violence at the interstate or mass-casualty level requires organized military capacity.

### **High State-Coupling (Violent Outcomes):**

- **The Crusades (11th–13th c.):** Apocalyptic expectation and papal authority coupled to European armies produced mass slaughter.
- **Münster Rebellion (1534–35):** Anabaptist apocalypticism briefly captured municipal power; the resulting siege killed thousands.
- **Taiping Rebellion (1850–64):** Hong Xiuquan's Christian-influenced apocalyptic movement seized territory and led to 20–30 million deaths.
- **Mahdist War in Sudan (1881–99):** Muhammad Ahmad's Mahdi-state fought British/Egyptian forces with massive casualties.
- **Bar Kokhba Revolt (132–35 CE):** Messianic expectation and mobilized Jewish forces led to catastrophic defeat.
- **ISIS (2014–16):** Apocalyptic framing coupled with quasi-state military control over territory produced extreme violence.

## **Low State-Coupling (Non-Large-Scale-War Outcomes):**

- **Millerites (1840s):** Failed prophecy; no state power; fragmented peacefully.
- **Jehovah's Witnesses:** Repeated date failures; politically disengaged; no organized violence.
- **Branch Davidians (1993):** Apocalyptic beliefs, no state power; isolated confrontation with state forces.
- **Aum Shinrikyo (1995):** Apocalyptic cult with limited resources; attempted mass-casualty chemical attack but lacked state capacity.

The current Abrahamic meta-attractor possesses high state-coupling: Iran is a state actor with Mahdist ideology; Christian Zionism influences US foreign policy; Jewish messianism is coupled to Israeli military power. The enemy designations are, however, asymmetrical. Christian Zionism does not straightforwardly designate Jewish messianists as enemies—dispensationalist theology assigns Jews a redemptive role, albeit one that ultimately involves conversion or destruction at the Second Coming—while paradoxically supporting the Jewish state as a prophetic instrument. This asymmetry is relevant to the coupling mechanism, but the overall structural conditions—state-coupling, designated enemies, shared geography, and mutual positive feedback—replicate the historical pattern associated with amplified apocalyptic violence.

---

## **5. The Coupling Mechanism: Positive Feedback with Asymmetric Political Weight**

### **5.1 Secular Drivers as Primary; Apocalyptic**

## **Amplification**

The conflicts in the Middle East are driven primarily by secular factors: resource competition, ethnic nationalism, post-colonial territorial disputes, and great-power proxy competition. The apocalyptic layer amplifies these conflicts and couples them across traditions. An Iranian nuclear program pursued for deterrence and regional dominance is *also* framed as divinely mandated preparation. Israeli settlement expansion driven by security concerns is *also* messianic fulfillment. US support for Israel based on geopolitical interest is *also* a prophetic timetable. The secular and apocalyptic drivers are layered; the apocalyptic layer provides a powerful positive feedback mechanism that makes de-escalation more difficult.

### **5.2 Asymmetric Political Weight**

The three basins differ substantially in institutional influence. Iranian Mahdism is embedded in autocratic state institutions with relatively low internal contestation, giving it direct control over military and foreign policy. Christian Zionism influences US policy through democratic electoral processes and lobbying; its influence is substantial but contestable. Jewish messianism operates within a democratic state with significant secular and non-messianic constituencies; it influences policy but does not control it. The feedback loop should be understood with this asymmetry: the Iranian basin is the most institutionally unconstrained, the American basin is the most diffuse, and the Israeli basin lies between them. Positive feedback still couples them, but their capacity to act on apocalyptic impulses varies considerably.

### **5.3 Mutual Perturbation and the October 7 Case Study**

- **Jewish actions:** Settlement expansion, military operations, Temple rhetoric → perturb Christian Zionists

(prophecy fulfillment) and Shia Mahdists (existential threat).

- **Christian actions:** Financial and political support for Israel → perturb Jewish messianists (divine favor) and Shia Mahdists (Crusader encroachment).
- **Shia actions:** Iranian nuclear program, proxy warfare, revolutionary rhetoric → perturb Jewish messianists (Gog and Magog) and Christian Zionists (Antichrist's coalition).

The October 7, 2023, attack and its aftermath illustrate the loop. Jewish messianists retrofitted the attack as “Messiah ben Yosef.” Christian Zionists cited Ezekiel 38. Iranian leaders framed it as a step toward the Mahdi. Each framing deepened the respective basin. The military responses that followed perturbed the other basins further. The loop is now closed.

---

## **6. High-κ Voices: Corrective Permeability Within the Traditions**

Each tradition contains high-κ voices—individuals, movements, and institutions that reject apocalyptic framing and insist on engagement with reality. Within Judaism, anti-Zionist Orthodox groups such as Neturei Karta and Satmar Hasidim oppose the State of Israel on theological grounds; mainstream Reform, Conservative, and secular Jewish communities do not base their identity on end-times prophecy. Within Christianity, the Catholic Church and mainline Protestant denominations generally interpret Revelation symbolically; the Vatican has stated that Christ's sacrifice replaced the Temple and that a rebuilt Temple holds no theological significance. Within Islam, quietist Shia traditions reject the politicization of Mahdism; most Sunni Muslims dismiss violent Mahdist cults as

heretical.

These voices demonstrate that  $\kappa$  is a variable, not a constant, and that alternatives to apocalyptic amplification exist within each tradition. However, their institutional leverage varies significantly. The Catholic Church and mainstream Protestant denominations retain substantial institutional infrastructure but have limited influence over the specific CUF-aligned constituency driving Christian Zionism. Quietist Shia traditions are systematically marginalized by the Iranian state apparatus. Jewish anti-messianist voices, while theologically significant, are politically marginal within the current Israeli governing coalition. Historically, high- $\kappa$  voices have gained influence within low- $\kappa$  movements when institutional structures rewarded deliberation over loyalty—conditions that are currently absent or weakened across all three basins. Strengthening these voices, as the conclusion argues, requires not only rhetorical support but attention to the institutional conditions that allow corrective permeability to operate.

---

## 7. Falsifiability Conditions

To avoid becoming a sealed attractor itself, this framework specifies refutation conditions with defined measurement instruments:

### Definitions:

- **“Major interstate war”** means sustained military hostilities between the regular armed forces of Israel and Iran, resulting in at least 1,000 battle-related deaths within a 12-month period, as documented by the Uppsala Conflict Data Program (UCDP) or equivalent.
- **“Measurably declined apocalyptic rhetoric”** means a

sustained reduction in the frequency of official state or movement-leader statements explicitly invoking end-times prophecy (e.g., references to Gog/Magog, Armageddon, Mahdi's return) as measured by content analysis of publicly available transcripts and official media. The specific threshold—a provisional reduction in the range of 25–40% relative to baseline—is offered as an illustrative benchmark rather than a fixed criterion. The direction and persistence of the trend are more important than the exact percentage.

- **Baseline period:** To avoid biasing the measurement toward a period of exceptional escalatory rhetoric, the baseline for rhetoric measurement spans 2015–2026, encompassing both pre- and post-October 7 conditions.

### **Conditions:**

- **Strong refutation:** If by December 31, 2036, no major interstate war between Israel and Iran has occurred—regardless of rhetoric levels—the thesis is substantially weakened.
- **Corroborating weakening:** If, additionally, apocalyptic rhetoric from all three movements has measurably declined, the thesis is further weakened and may be treated as disconfirmed.
- **Corroboration:** If a major interstate war occurs, *and* there is specific evidence that apocalyptic framing causally contributed to the conflict—for example, documentation that de-escalation opportunities were refused on eschatological grounds, or that apocalyptic rhetoric measurably increased domestic support for escalatory decisions—the thesis is corroborated. We acknowledge that such evidence may not be publicly available within the 2036 timeframe; declassified records, memoirs, or investigative journalism may supply post-hoc verification. Mere

co-occurrence of war and pre-existing rhetoric does not constitute corroboration.

---

## **8. Conclusion: Reducing the Amplifier, Resolving the Conflicts**

Three Abrahamic apocalyptic attractors have become coupled through positive feedback that amplifies underlying secular conflicts and elevates the risk of catastrophic war. The assessment of corrective permeability across the movements is qualitatively consistent but methodologically preliminary; the  $k$  indicators are applied as a framework, not a definitive measurement. The historical record shows that when sealed apocalyptic basins are coupled to state military power and locked in mutual feedback with designated enemies, mass death has repeatedly resulted; it also shows that such outcomes are not inevitable when state-coupling is absent. High- $k$  voices within each tradition offer alternative paths, though their institutional leverage is currently limited.

If the apocalyptic layer is an amplifier, not the primary cause, then the prescription must match the diagnosis. Reducing the amplifier—increasing corrective permeability across the movements, strengthening high- $k$  voices, and disrupting the positive feedback loop—is strategically necessary but not sufficient. Co-equal secular de-escalation pathways are required: territorial negotiations, sanctions architectures, deterrence structures, and great-power diplomacy that address the underlying drivers of the conflict. Neither the amplifier nor the underlying fire can be ignored. The framework does not predict inevitability; it identifies structural tendencies and specifies the conditions under which it would be refuted. The only reliable ground is shared reality.

*Author's note: This paper has undergone multiple rounds of critique and revision. Each iteration has incorporated disconfirming feedback and refined its claims—a practice the framework itself identifies as essential corrective permeability.*

---

## References

- Festinger, L., Riecken, H.W., & Schachter, S. (1956). *When Prophecy Fails*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Galida, R. (2026a). *Persistence Under Perturbation: The Eternal Skeleton and the Transient Dance*. Fantasy Attractor.
- Galida, R. (2026b). The MAGA Attractor: Fantasy, Colonization, and the Terminal Phase of a Sealed Basin. Fantasy Attractor.
- Hamid, N., Pretus, C., Atran, S., et al. (2019). Neuroimaging 'devoted actors' willingness to fight and die for sacred values. *Royal Society Open Science*, 6(4), 181847.
- Khalaji, M. (2008). *Apocalyptic Politics: On the Rationality of Iranian Policy*. Washington Institute.
- Melton, J.G. (1985). Spiritualization and reaffirmation: What really happens when prophecy fails. *American Studies*, 26(2), 17–29.
- Ostovar, A. (2016). *Vanguard of the Imam: Religion, Politics, and Iran's Revolutionary Guards*. Oxford University Press.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J.C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W.G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations* (pp. 33–47). Brooks/Cole.
- Zhong, W., Cristofori, I., Bulbulia, J., et al. (2017).

Biological and cognitive underpinnings of religious fundamentalism. *Neuropsychologia*, 100, 18–25.

---

# The MAGA Attractor: Fantasy, Colonization, and the Terminal Phase of a Sealed Basin

Robert Galida, Independent Researcher  
June 2026 | [fantasyattractor.com](https://fantasyattractor.com)

---

## Abstract

The MAGA movement is a colonizing fantasy attractor exhibiting the structural features the attractor framework predicts: a destabilizing perturbation, a dopamine-rich sealed narrative, near-zero corrective permeability ( $\kappa$ ), active colonization of rival basins, and a terminal phase characterized by attacks on reality-delivery institutions. This paper applies the  $\kappa$  diagnostic—a set of observable indicators measuring a belief system's willingness to update on contradictory evidence—to MAGA as a case study. We include a minimal comparative sketch applying the same indicators to a left-aligned movement to demonstrate symmetric applicability. We engage disconfirming instances within the MAGA case, define the terminal phase formally, and ground the attractor framework in established dynamical-systems and motivated-reasoning literatures. The

paper does not offer predictions. It identifies structural tendencies and leaves empirical validation to future work.

---

## **1. Introduction: The Diagnostic Stance**

The attractor framework (Galida, 2026) defines a fantasy attractor as a belief system with low corrective permeability ( $\kappa$ ): it resists updating when confronted with contradictory evidence, reframes error signals to protect its core narrative, and often seeks to colonize or destroy neighboring basins. The framework draws on dynamical-systems theory (Strogatz, 2018; Kelso, 1995), which characterizes attractors as regions in state space toward which trajectories converge and remain unless perturbed. A high- $\kappa$  attractor absorbs perturbation and updates; a low- $\kappa$  attractor resists perturbation and seals. This paper applies that diagnostic to the MAGA movement.

The framework predicts that sealed attractors exist across the political spectrum. A fully symmetric analysis would examine movements of all orientations using the same  $\kappa$  indicators. The present paper is a single-case application, supplemented by a brief comparative sketch in Section 6. It does not imply that MAGA is unique or uniquely sealed. It demonstrates the diagnostic method on a prominent and well-documented case.

---

## **2. Operationalizing Corrective Permeability ( $\kappa$ )**

Corrective permeability is not a single number. It is a composite of observable indicators. A movement's  $\kappa$  can be estimated—qualitatively, not metrically—by examining its responses to disconfirming events. The indicators below are

applicable to any political or social movement.

## $\kappa$ Indicators

Indicator	High $\kappa$ (reality-aligned)	Low $\kappa$ (fantasy attractor)
Electoral loss response	Concedes defeat; analyzes reasons; adapts strategy	Rejects outcome as fraudulent; seeks to overturn result
Legal defeat response	Accepts ruling; appeals within system; adjusts behavior	Delegitimizes courts; portrays defeats as persecution
Internal dissent tolerance	Debates openly; allows factional disagreement	Purges dissenters; enforces narrative loyalty
Media coverage response	Engages with critical reporting; distinguishes bias from fact	Labels all critical media as "enemy"; constructs alternative media ecosystem
Policy failure response	Acknowledges failure; revises approach	Blames enemies; reframes failure as sabotage
Leader criticism response	Evaluates criticism on merits; holds leaders accountable	Treats all criticism as treason; leader is beyond reproach

A movement that scores low across most or all indicators has  $\kappa$  approaching zero. A movement that scores high across most has  $\kappa$  approaching one. The assignment is comparative and qualitative, not computational.

---

### **3. The Initial Perturbation: A Basin Destabilized**

The MAGA movement emerged from a genuine, large-scale perturbation to the personal and social attractors of millions of Americans. For decades, the post-war American basin was stable for its primary beneficiaries: manufacturing jobs provided middle-class security, cultural norms were broadly shared, and the United States enjoyed unchallenged global dominance. Over several decades, that basin was progressively destabilized. Deindustrialization eliminated millions of stable jobs. Globalization shifted economic power away from domestic manufacturing. Cultural norms around race, gender, sexuality, and religion shifted rapidly. Demographic projections showed a future in which the previously dominant group would become a minority. Each of these was a perturbation. Cumulatively, they shattered the old basin.

The attractor framework does not judge the legitimacy of the grievances. It notes that a destabilized attractor seeks a new basin. The question is always: *What basin will replace the old one?*

---

### **4. The New Basin: Narrative, Dopamine, and Motivated Reasoning**

The core narrative of the MAGA attractor is well-documented: the adherent is the authentic voice of the nation; their loss is a theft by corrupt elites and internal enemies; the leader will restore greatness. This narrative is an ontological rescue. It replaces a confusing, painful reality with a simple, morally charged story.

The dopamine dynamics are well-established. Certainty, righteous anger, and tribal belonging activate the mesolimbic

reward system (Olds & Milner, 1954). But dopamine alone does not distinguish fantasy attractors from reality-aligned movements—all high-commitment groups generate reward. What distinguishes low- $\kappa$  attractors is the *impermeability* of the reward loop: the system prevents corrective information from entering, so the dopamine cycle never encounters disconfirmation.

The motivated-reasoning literature provides a well-established parallel. Individuals process information in ways that protect identity-congenial beliefs (Kahan, 2013). Social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) predicts that group membership becomes a source of self-esteem, making threats to the group's narrative feel like personal attacks. The MAGA attractor operates at the intersection of these dynamics: a highly salient group identity, a narrative of victimhood and restoration, and a reward system that fires on certainty. The basin is psychologically satisfying and neurochemically self-reinforcing.

---

## 5. Applying the $\kappa$ Indicators to MAGA

When we apply the six  $\kappa$  indicators to the documented behavior of the MAGA movement, the pattern is clear.

- **Electoral loss response:** The 2020 election was rejected as fraudulent. Over 60 court cases were dismissed, yet the “stolen election” narrative persisted. Electoral officials who certified results have been purged and replaced.  $\kappa$  is near zero on this indicator.
- **Legal defeat response:** Criminal and civil indictments against the movement's leader are framed as “witch hunts” and “election interference.” Courts are delegitimized.  $\kappa$  is near zero.
- **Internal dissent tolerance:** Republicans who criticized

the leader have been primaried, censured, or forced from office. Internal debate is treated as disloyalty.  $\kappa$  is near zero.

- **Media coverage response:** Mainstream media are labeled “enemies of the people.” A parallel media ecosystem delivers only narrative-congruent information.  $\kappa$  is near zero.
- **Policy failure response:** Trade wars that harmed farmers were reframed as necessary sacrifices, not policy failures. Promised infrastructure and healthcare reforms that did not materialize were blamed on opponents, not acknowledged as unfulfilled.  $\kappa$  is near zero.
- **Leader criticism response:** Criticism of the leader is treated as treason. The leader’s statements, even when contradictory or demonstrably false, are accepted by adherents without correction.  $\kappa$  is near zero.

## 5.1 Disconfirming Instances and Complexity

The assignment of  $\kappa \approx 0$  is a pattern judgment, not a uniform claim. Several behaviors complicate a blanket zero- $\kappa$  diagnosis and must be acknowledged.

- Some MAGA-aligned officials did certify the 2020 election results under intense pressure, including figures such as Georgia Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger and Arizona’s Republican governor Doug Ducey, who faced threats and political retaliation for doing so. This is evidence of  $\kappa > 0$  among individuals within the movement’s orbit.
- The movement’s policy agenda did shift in notable ways relative to prior Republican orthodoxy, including trade protectionism, pharmaceutical pricing reform, and infrastructure spending. These represent genuine policy adaptation, even if they served the broader narrative of economic nationalism.

- Internal dissent, while punished, has not been eliminated. Some Republican figures continue to criticize the leader from within the party, and factions with incompatible interests (economic libertarians, Christian nationalists, working-class populists) persist.

These instances suggest that the movement is not a perfectly uniform basin. Some members and subgroups exhibit higher  $\kappa$  than others. However, the overall pattern—sustained across multiple years, multiple domains, and the movement’s dominant institutional responses—remains one of extremely low corrective permeability. The dissenting officials were purged, not elevated. The policy shifts occurred within a sealed narrative that did not acknowledge prior error. Internal critics were marginalized. The diagnostic is a structural assessment of the attractor’s dominant dynamics, not a claim about every individual within it.

---

## 6. Comparative Sketch: A Left-Aligned Case

The framework’s symmetry requirement demands that the same  $\kappa$  indicators be applied to movements of other political orientations. A full comparative analysis is beyond the scope of this paper, but a brief sketch demonstrates the method’s applicability.

Consider the progressive wing of the Democratic Party’s response to the 2016 election loss. On the  $\kappa$  indicators:

- **Electoral loss response:** The loss was accepted, though accompanied by narratives of Russian interference and Electoral College illegitimacy. The outcome was not

rejected as fraudulent, but external factors were invoked to explain defeat—a partial but not complete  $\kappa$  signal.

- **Legal defeat response:** Progressive legal setbacks (e.g., on immigration policy, voting rights) have generally been accepted within the system, with strategy adjustments rather than court delegitimization.  $\kappa$  is moderate-high.
- **Internal dissent tolerance:** The progressive coalition contains vigorous internal debate between moderates and left factions. Primary challenges are common and openly contested.  $\kappa$  is high on this indicator.
- **Media coverage response:** Progressives engage with mainstream media but also criticize it for bias. An alternative media ecosystem exists but has not fully sealed; cross-pollination with mainstream outlets is common.  $\kappa$  is moderate.
- **Policy failure response:** Failed progressive initiatives (e.g., certain criminal-justice reform measures, housing policies) have generated internal debate and strategy revisions, though blame-shifting also occurs.  $\kappa$  is moderate.
- **Leader criticism response:** Progressive leaders face significant internal criticism. Figures such as Bernie Sanders and Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez are both celebrated and challenged from within the movement.  $\kappa$  is high.

This sketch suggests a moderate-to-high  $\kappa$  for this movement, with some indicators showing partial sealing. The exercise demonstrates that the  $\kappa$  indicators do not automatically classify one's political opponents as fantasy attractors and one's allies as reality-aligned. The diagnostic discriminates based on behavior, not affiliation.

---

## 7. Colonization: “You Must Join or Be Destroyed”

A fantasy attractor does not peacefully coexist. It colonizes. The MAGA movement demands that other basins submit to its narrative or be treated as enemies. This operates at interpersonal, institutional, and electoral levels. Families are fractured by loyalty demands. The judiciary, civil service, and military are to be purged of “disloyal” elements. Election administration is being restructured to place loyalists in positions of authority over vote counting and certification. Colonization is a structural necessity: a sealed attractor cannot tolerate rival basins that might deliver a fatal perturbation.

---

## 8. Beam and Sliver: Internal Contradictions as Diagnostic Features

All political coalitions contain tensions between stated values and enacted policy. The diagnostic question is not whether contradictions exist, but whether the attractor can acknowledge and address them. High-k movements can name their own tensions. Low-k movements cannot.

The MAGA attractor exhibits several severe, structurally unresolvable contradictions:

- **Liberty vs. Authoritarianism:** The movement claims to defend freedom while supporting a leader who attacks the free press, demands personal loyalty, and threatens to use state power against opponents.
- **Law and Order vs. Criminality:** The movement claims to uphold law and order while its leader faces multiple felony convictions and indictments.

- **Populism vs. Plutocracy:** The movement claims to be a working-class revolt while its policy agenda primarily benefits the wealthy.
- **Christianity vs. Cruelty:** The movement claims Christian values while supporting policies that separate migrant families and mock the vulnerable.

What makes these contradictions diagnostically severe is not their existence—all coalitions contain tensions—but their structural unresolvability within the current basin. The movement's dependence on a single leader whose personal legal exposure is inextricably linked to its narrative makes acknowledgment of criminality equivalent to basin collapse. The contradiction cannot be resolved; it can only be suppressed by attacking the legal system itself. This dynamic is distinct from the ordinary policy tensions of a political coalition, where compromise, leadership change, or platform evolution can absorb and resolve contradictions over time. In the MAGA basin, the leader cannot be replaced without dissolving the attractor, and the criminal charges cannot be acknowledged without invalidating the narrative of persecution. The beam is locked in place.

The sliver is projected outward with equal force: every fault is hung on the opponent. The movement cannot name its own contradictions, so it names everyone else's—real or invented—with relentless intensity.

---

## 9. The Terminal Phase: Formal Definition and Observable Signs

Within the attractor framework, a **terminal phase** is reached when a sealed attractor, facing sustained and credible existential threats, shifts its primary behavior from

narrative self-maintenance and colonization to the active dismantling of the external correction mechanisms that could deliver a fatal perturbation.

**Transition conditions include:**

1. **Loss of institutional control:** The movement no longer reliably controls the executive or legislative branches through normal electoral means.
2. **Credible legal jeopardy:** Leadership faces prosecution, incarceration, or removal from ballots.
3. **Narrowing coalition:** The movement's demographic base cannot reliably produce majorities in national elections.
4. **Elite messaging shift:** The movement's leadership explicitly frames institutional destruction as the only path to survival.

When these conditions are met, the attractor is no longer merely sealed. It is actively destroying the sources of perturbation.

**Observable signs of a terminal-phase political attractor:**

1. **Rejection of electoral outcomes** as illegitimate unless the movement wins.
2. **Purge of dissenting officials** from election administration and party structures.
3. **Preparation for institutional override** through legal theories that would allow loyalist bodies to override popular vote counts.
4. **Normalization of violence** as patriotic self-defense.
5. **Attacks on truth-delivery systems**—media, science, intelligence, courts—to neutralize their corrective function.

The MAGA movement currently exhibits all five signs. The transition conditions are partially met (credible legal jeopardy is present; electoral losses have occurred; the coalition faces demographic challenges) and partially contested (the movement retains significant institutional power through the courts and state legislatures). The terminal phase is not an all-or-nothing category; it is a trajectory along which the movement has demonstrably moved.

---

## **10. Trajectory: Structural Tendencies, Not Predictions**

The attractor framework identifies structural tendencies, not certainties. Three trajectories are possible for a terminal-phase fantasy attractor, and they are not mutually exclusive.

**Escalation.** If the leader faces incarceration, removal from ballots, or definitive electoral defeat, the movement may escalate. Violence is the final defense of a sealed basin that cannot tolerate reality. Escalation risk is elevated when institutional pressure intensifies.

**Fracture.** The movement contains factions with incompatible interests. If the central figure becomes unavailable, the attractor may fracture into competing sub-basins, each claiming legitimacy. This is a common post-charismatic trajectory.

**Slow Fade.** Some fantasy attractors fade as the promised restoration never arrives, adherents age, and younger generations find the narrative less compelling. This trajectory requires sustained institutional resilience and an absence of triggering crises.

The current structural conditions—ongoing legal pressure,

sustained institutional attacks, and the centrality of a single figure—make escalation and fracture the highest-concern scenarios. The slow fade remains a possibility only if institutions hold and no major crisis intervenes. No probability is assigned. The framework names the tendencies and leaves empirical validation to events.

---

## **11. Conclusion**

The  $\kappa$  indicators, applied qualitatively, suggest that the MAGA movement exhibits near-zero corrective permeability across multiple domains. The movement colonizes rival basins, cannot acknowledge its internal contradictions, and exhibits the observable signs of a terminal-phase attractor. Disconfirming instances complicate but do not overturn the overall pattern. Symmetric application of the  $\kappa$  diagnostic to movements of other political orientations is methodologically required and has been briefly sketched; full comparative validation remains necessary. The framework provides structural tendencies, not predictions. The methodological limitations are acknowledged. The analysis is offered as a diagnostic contribution, not a final determination.